

[Solicitors' Journal,
Oct. 25, 1884.]

THE
SOLICITORS' JOURNAL
AND
REPORTER.

VOLUME XXVIII.

1883-84.

NOVEMBER 5, 1883, TO OCTOBER 25, 1884.

LONDON: 27, CHANCERY LANE, W.C.
1884.

ALEXANDER & SHEPHEARD,
Printers,
LONSDALE BUILDINGS, CHANCERY LANE, W.C

Charles v
Cotton, L
Greer v.
Hardake
Heawood
Heakey
Hodkins
Railwa

(1.) Co

Armour
Aste, Bo
Westen
Bailey v
Bedboron
Compa
Berghelm
(Limite
Bilder v
Bourne v
Bousfield
Bradford
Brandran
Burstall
Cain v Cl
Caiton's
Cardinal
Cotton v
Coles v T
ciation
Correllis
Crick v L
Daniell v
Denham
Diggle, J
Docwra,
re Doc
Eckersale
Fussell v
Garnett,
Gibbing
Gough v
Grant v
Green v
Grosman
Haddan
Hall v B
Hampde
Hanson
Harlock
Hember
Hill v H
Hooper
Houston
Hoyland
In re,
Hudson
Hull, Ba
tion R
165
Hunt v
Hutchin
Jenning
Johnston
Jones v
Keith v
Kitchin
Knight
Lane v L
Lawson
Liggott
Litchfie
London
East R
Lumb v
Maidson
pany,
Railwa
Mandy v
May v D
May v R
Mills v M
Moes v J
Nichols
Norton v
Part v G
Perks v
Peter v L
Phillips
Pilling's
Pontifex
224, 227
Pooley, J
Wheti

Mayor a
515

Beatty, J
Board of
Brooke,
514
Chapman
709
Clark an
Collinson
Colonial
Davison
of Will
Day, In

CASES DISCUSSED.

Charles v Finchley Local Board, Ch. D. 21
Cotton, Ex parte, Q. B. D. 66
Greer v. Young, Q. A. 5
Hardaker v Moorhouse, Ch. D. 575
Heawood v Bone, Q. B. D. 615
Heckel v Samuelson & Company, Q. B. D. 511
Hodkinson v London and North-Western Railway Company, Q. B. D. 644

Inderwick, In re, C. A. 511
Ludmore, In re, Q. B. D. 771
Morgan, In re, Ch. D. 65
Nichols v Pitman, Ch. D. 614
Parker v First Avenue Hotel Company, Ch. D. 117
Pearsall v Brierley Hill Local Board, C. A. 117

Read v Anderson, C. A. 747
Reg. v Justices of Liverpool, C. A. 117
— v Labouchere, Q. B. D. 747
— v Pilling, Q. B. D. 511
— v Stephenson, Q. B. D. 771
School Board for London v Duggan, Q. B. D. 631
Shaw v The Port Philip and Colonial and

Gold Mining Company, Q. B. D. 747
Stonor's Trusts, In re, Ch. D. 83
Storer, In re, Ch. D. 681
United Land Company v Tottenham Local Board, Q. B. D. 570
Wilson v Coxwell, Ch. D. 5
Woodhall, Ex parte, In re Woodhall, C. A. 637

NEW PRACTICE CASES.

(1.) Court of Appeal and High Court.

Armour v Walker, 165
Aste, Son, and Kercheval v Stumore, Western, and Co., 165
Bailey v Bailey, 514
Bedborough v Army and Navy Hotel Company, 255
Berghem v Hornsea Pier Company (Limited), 152
Bidder v Bridges, 253
Bourne v Coalier, 426
Bousfield v Dove, 708
Bradford v Young, 733
Brandram's Trusts, Re, 131
Burstall v. Beyfus, 300
Cain v Clegg, 671
Canton's Will, In re, 67, 120
Cardinal v Cardinal, 215, 234
Cotton v Bennett, 257
Coles v The Civil Service Supply Association, 320
Corralls, In re, 532
Crick v Hewlett, 708
Daniell v Oakley, 477
Denham and Company, In re, 260
Diggle, Re, Diggle v Diggle, 57
Dowds, In re, Dowds v Faith, and In re Dowds, Westwood v Dowds, 672
Eckersley v Eckersley, 635
Fussell v Dowding, 577
Garnett, Re, Gandy v Macaulay, 325
Gibbings v Strong, 220
Gough v Heatley, 215
Grant v Easton, 165
Green v Bennett, 616
Grossman v The Granville Club, 513
Hadam's Patent, Re, 733
Hall v Brand, 67
Hampden v Wallis, 532, 560
Hanson v Maddox; Yeo, Claimant, 120
Harlock v Ashberry, 26
Hemberov v Frost, 708
Hill v Hart Davis, 574
Hooper v Smith, 443
Houston v The Marquis of Sigo, 253, 324
Hoyland Silkstone Colliery Company, In re, 162
Hudson v Ogerby, 300
Hull, Barnsley, and West Riding Junction Railway and Dock, &c., Act, In re, 165
Hunt v Fensham, 253
Hutchinson v Norwood, 522
Jennings, Re, Stock v Jennings, 477
Johnston v Johnston, 730
Jones v Bartholomew, 84, 101
Keith v Butcher, 258
Kitching v Hewer, 632
Knight's Trusts, In re, 152
Lane v Lane, 35
Lawson v The Vacuum Brake Co., 632
Leggett v Wagon, 357
Litchfield v Jones, 35
London (Mayor of), Ex parte, and The East Kent Railway Act, 1853; 25, 45
Lumb v Beaumont, 708
Maldstone and Ashford Railway Company, In re, In re Sala and Festinog Railway Company, 101
Mandy v Morris, 467
May v Dowds, 495
May v Roberts, 152
Mills v Mills, 234
Moss v Bradburn, 234
Nicholson's Trusts, In re, 360
Norton v Compton, 671
Part v Griffiths, 320
Perks v Gillette, 54
Peter v Peter, 300, 320, 410
Phillips v Beall, 513
Pilling's Trusts, In re, 443
Pontifex v Ford; Mead, Third Party, 234, 257
Pooley, The Trustee in Bankruptcy of, v Whetham, 639

Roberts v Oppenheim, 443
Rolfe, Re, 165
Runt v Chadwick, 532
Salisbury (Marquis of) v Greville-Nugent, 121, 152
Sawyer v Sawyer, 101
Shapcott v Chappell, 190
Sheldon v Nixon, 290
Smith, In re, Smith v Went, 374
Smith v Snacksmen Insurance Company, 120
South African Syndicate (Limited), In re, 120, 152
Speiler v The Bristol Steam Navigation Company, 426
Spettigue's Trusts, In re, 215
Stening, In re, 560
Street v Crump, 45
Suffolk v Lawrence, 632
Swansea Co-operative Building Society and another v Davies, 101
Tomlinson v The Land and Finance Corporation (Limited), 734
Towse v Loveridge, 67
Treherne v Dale, 547
Wenlock (Baroness) v River Dee Company, 152
Weston v Sherwell, 638
Whitham v Whitham, 456
Wright v Ahrens, 442
Wilkinson, In re, 535
Wilson, J. In re, deceased, Wilson v Alitree, 633
Wilson v Brewster, 672
Woodbridge, In re, 730
Woodley v Thornley, 375

(2.) Practice Appeals from Chambers.

Apps v W. H. Smith and Son, 514
Bursill v Tanner, 595
Central News (Limited) v Eastern Telegraph Company (Limited), and others, 326
Clark v Alexander, 616
Compagnie Financière du Pacifique v The Peruvian Guano Company, 417
Daubus and others v Lavington, 427
Gath v Howarth, 427
Gibson v Sykes, 533
Gort (Viscount) and others v Rowney and another, 538
Gray v Jacobs, 320
Greening, Re, a Solicitor, 426
Guarducci v Davies, 514
Hamilton, Fraser and Company v Staley, Radford, and Company, 478
Hart v Brown and another; Godsall, Third Party, 577
Howell v Dawson; Dawson, Claimant, 465
Hucker v Hillman, 590
Le Fargue v Stead, 547
Lee v Parkes, 617
Leslie v Clifford, 514
London and Provincial Stock Exchange Company v Willis, 478
London and Tilbury Railway Company v Kirk and Randall, 638
Longman v McGregor, 632
Miers v Gardner, 426
Millard v Baddeley and others, 427
Mulkern v Doerks, 638
Percy v Thomas, 633
Porter v Wotton, 548
Prosser v Mallinson; North, Claimant, 411, 616
Pyman and Company v Burt, Boulton, and another, 426
Reid and Glasgow v Powers, 633
Rich v Darrett; Hall, Third Party, 513
Robinson v Tucker, 426
Salm Kyrburg v Pomanaki, 630
Salmon, Barnes, and Company v The Coniston Mining Company, 617

(3.) Judges' Chambers.

A. v B., 46
Attenborough and Son v London, &c., Telephone Company (Limited), 181
Bates v Burchell; Carter, Third Party, 443
Bell and Earl v Von Dadelzen, 102
Crompton and Company v Bennett and Company, 102
Bond v Freke, 300
Borough and another v James; Hickman, Third Party, 371
Brookhurst v The Railway Printing and Publishing Company (Limited); Eldridge and Pearson, Claimants, 358
Burns v Walford, 269
Burr v Hubbard, 85
Bye v Kirby; Smith v Shepherd; Geo v Johnson; Van Boelen v Gordon, 68
C. v D., 102
Calver v Chapman; Holmes, Third Party, 270
Claret and others v Davison and others, 235
Campbell and others v Lord Poulett and others, 301
Cass v Fitzgerald, 235
Central News Company (Limited) v Eastern Telegraph Company and others, 254
Chalriss v Wilkin and others; Ellis v Wilkin and others; Parry v Wilkin and others, 340
Clifford and another v Budds and another, 220
Compagnie, &c., du Pacifique v Guano Company, 26
Cooper v Moon, 375
Copley v Jackson and Company, 220, 411
Corbett and others v Lewin and another, 340
Cowley (applicant) v Tyler (respondent); Re A Bill of Sale, 375
Crawford v Chorley, 86
Croft v Collingwood, 270
Crosland v Routledge and another, 153
Danger v Nelson, 413
Daubus and others v Lavington, 413
Davies and Son v Stevens, 216
Davies, Thomas, and Son v Andrews, 411
Davy and another v Price, 153
Delarogue v S. S. Oxenholme and Company, 154
De Leon v Hubbard and others, 35
De St. Martin v Davis and Company, 322
Duff v Valentine; Fenner, Claimant, 153
E. v F., 102
Egerton v Anderson, 412
Evans v Edwards, 68
Eyre v Moreing, 326
Finlay and Company v Scott and Son, 215
Flower v Todd and another, 301
G. v H., 66
Gath v Howarth, 427
Gloucestershire Banking Company v Phillips and another, Executors; O'neagh, Third Party, 328
Guéret and another v Young, 121
Hall v Lardet, 26, 47, 69
Harris v Jewell and another, 121
Heard and another v Borgwarth, 46, 67
Heller v Ellis, 216
Henderson v Ripley and others, 321
Hobson v Monk and another, 126, 235
Hopton v Robertson, 375
Hunt v Clifford, 322
Hutchison v Colorado United Mining Company, 229

I. v K., 340
Jablochhoff Electric Light Company v McMurdo; Whitehall, Third Party, 411
Jacobs v Great Western Railway Company, 271
Jacobs, Hart, and Company v Brown and another, 254
Jimney and Sons v Owen, 165
Jones v Arthur Elderton; Anna Elizabeth Elderton, Third Party, 220
— v Jones and another, 235
— v London Road Car Company, 85
Jubb v Bibbs and Hill, 102
Land Corporation of Canada v Fuleston and another, 181
Langley v Sugden, 86
Law and Lindsay v Budd, 26
Le Banque des Travaux Publics, &c., v Wallis, 341
Lightowler v Lightowler, 186
Lucy v Wood, 320
Macdonald v Autelme, Paterson, and Company, 320
McIlroy v Duncan and others, 301
Mack v Ward; Oldham, Garnishoe, 224
Mansergh and Wife v Rimell, 271
Mendelssohn v Hoppe, 270
Millard v Baddeley and others, 412
Moore v Mulligan, 271
Morgan v Greatrex, 181
Munday v Pigott, 325
O'Meara v Stone and another, 320
Oppenheimer and Company v Davenport and Company, 325
Padgett v Binns, 216
Palmer and another v Gould's Manufacturing Company, 340
Parsons and another, Trustees, v Burton, 121
Perks v Mylrea, 341
Picasso v Trustees of Maryport Harbour, 391
Pickard v Great Northern Railway Company, 67
Pyman and Company v Burt, Boulton, and another, 426
Queen, The, (on the Prosecution of the Local Government Board) v Cheshunt Local Board, 376
Robinson and others v Budgett and Company, 411
Rooney v Whiteley, 153
Ross v Ashwin and another, 321
Rotherham, Mayor, &c., of, v Peace, 121
Searle and Company v Matthews; Fox and Company, Claimants, 47
Seligmann and others v Young, 411
Shillito and another v Child and Company, 102
Smith v Harley, 427
— v Reed and others, 84
— and another v Bell and others, 25
— and Company v British Marine Mutual Insurance Association, 47, 166
Spartali and Company v Van Hoorn and another, 370
Speckhart v Campbell, Achmach, and Company, 254
Staffordshire Joint Stock Bank (Limited) v Weaver, 375
Tate and another v The Commercial Banking Company of Sydney, 225
Tattersall v National Steam Ship Company (Limited), 270
Van der Kan and Deitman v Ashworth and Company; Regulatore Fabrik Germanic, Claimants, 326
Wagstaff and another v Jacobowitz, 255
Walker v Crabtree, 65
Webster v Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company, 180
Westernman v Rees; Jones, Claimant, 155
White v Land and Water Company, 48
Whiting v The East London Waterworks Company, 216
Wood v Goodwin, 235
York v Stowers, 46

CASES REPORTED.

Chancery Division.
Mayor and Corporation of Bristol v Cox, 518

Bankruptcy Cases.
Beatty, Ex parte, In re Loewenthal, 578
Board of Trade, The, Ex parte, 633
Brooke, T., In re, Ex parte The Trustee, 514
Chapman, Re, Ex parte Lovering, 624, 709
Clark and Clark, Re, 548
Collinson, Re, 797
Colonial Bank v Whitney, 708
Davison, In re, Ex parte The Receivers of William Blenkins, 444
Day, In re, Ex parte Barrow, 202

Dever, Ex parte, 735
Edwards, Ex parte, 735
Gillbrand, Ex parte, 633
Good, Ex parte, 672
Gounger and Gounger v Argent, 327
Harrison, Ex parte, 672
Johnstone, In re, Ex parte Abrams, 341
—, Ex parte Angier, 655
Jordan, Re, Ex parte Lloyd's Banking Company, 425
Knight, Re, Ex parte Smith and Company, 426
Lewis Louis, Re, Ex parte La Signora Tawpani, 342
McAlpine, Ex parte, In re McAlpine, 578
Macintosh and Beauchamp, In re, Ex parte Macintosh, 514

Margotta, In re, Ex parte Board of Trade, 654
May, Ex parte, 734
Moser, In re, Ex parte The Trustee, 726
Nickoll, Ex parte, 617
Oastler, Ex parte, 654
Outram, Re, and Edleston, Ex parte Marshall, 592
Parker, F. S., Re, and Parker, W. S., Ex parte The Chief Official Receiver, 222
Pearce, James, In re, Ex parte The Board of Trade, 444
Re —, 323, 617
Revell, Ex parte, 654
Richards, J. and H., In re, Ex parte The Official Receiver, 725
Rodway, In re, Ex parte Phillips, 721

Singleton, Re, Ex parte Hull, 428
Tricks, In re, Ex parte Winkie, 322
Wemyss, In re, Ex parte Wemyss, 515, 595
Whitelow, Ex parte, 654
Whitney, Ex parte, 617
White and Company, In re, Ex parte The Official Receiver, 426
Whittaker, F. Re, 520
Wilkinson, In re, 454
—, Ex parte The Official Receiver, 479
Wilson and Mellish, In re, Ex parte The Trustee, 478
Winds and Dunesmore, In re, Ex parte Hough, 520
Woodall, Ex parte, 633
Zappert and Company, Ex parte Trustee, 426

Solicitors' Cases.

Abbott, W. B., In re, and In re Edward Lewis, 581
Cockayne, In re, 739
Combe v Brown, 416
Cooke, George Alfred, In the Matter of, 445, 587
Dodge, William Foden, and Phipps, Edmund, In the Matter of, 630
Dudley Crews, In re, Ex parte Monet, 71
Favell, T. V., In the Matter of, 329
Grueber, Charles George, In re, 398
Hardwick, E. F., In re, 124
Hendricks, Alfred, In the Matter of, 432
Hicks and W. B. Abbot and others, In the Matter of, 99
Hinde, Walter H., In the Matter of, 71
Holland, Henry, In the Matter of, 675, 691
Lewis, Edward, In re, 738
London Scottish Permanent Building Society v Chorley and others, 564
MacColla, Charles James, In the Matter of, 637
Milton, Re, Bradford, and Fortescue, 167
Newman, Thomas, In the Matter of, 432
Parker and Parker, In re, 344, 392
Parnell, Edward Hamilton, In re, 535
Phipps, In the Matter of, 739
Richardson, John, In the Matter of, 28
Singleton and Tattershall, In re, 500
Solicitor, A., In the Matter of, 396, 397, 739
Stephenson, Charles Offerton, In the Matter of, 229
Tattershall, Edward George, Re, 456, 550
Thompson v Pearce and others, 28
Ward, S. B., In the Matter of, 739
White, G. F. M., In the Matter of, 432
Wilkins and others v Greer, 535
Williams, William, Re, 99

Railway Commissioners.

Berry v London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company, 748
Macfarlane and Company v The North British Railway Company (No. 2), 125
Wandsworth District Local Board v The Postmaster-General, 780

County Courts.

Aitken, Re, 304
Bower, George, Re, Ex parte Hutchinson, Hollingworth, Co. (Limited), 655
Bowes and Silverwood, Re, Ex parte Moorhouse, 788
Heath, In re, 287
Hogben, W., Re, 816
Holmes, Re, 48
King-King v Cayre, 181
Manchester Commercial Building Company (Limited) v Dibb, 676
Price v The Lancashire County and Manchester Cricket Club, 807
Riddeough, Robert, In re, Dibb v Vaughan, 656
Smith, Re, 287
— v Acock, 740
Thomas, Re, Ex parte The Star Inn Commercial and Building Society, 751
Thompson v Garne, 125
Vallance v Falle, 8
Wemyss, Re, 515

NOTES OF CASES.

Adams, In re, and The Kensington Vestry, 698
Ager v Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company, 480
Agg Gardner, Re, 218
Allen v Frere, 737
— v Norris, 461, 636
Allhusen v Brooking, 377
Ames v Taylor, 59
Anderson's Application, In re, 394
Andrews, Ex parte, 290
Anglo-French Co-operative Society, In re, 550
Appleton's Estate, Re, Barber v Tebbitt, 563
Aste's Trusts, In re, 86
Atlantic Mutual Fire Insurance Company v Hunt, 167
Baimes and Company v Toye, 675
Ballard v Tomlinson, 203
Barlow v The Vestry of St. Mary Abbott, Kensington, 634
Barracough v Shillito, 636
Barrs-Hadin's Settled Estates, In re, 70
Bartter, Ex parte, 581
Bates v Bates, 585
Bath, Ex parte, 618
Baynton v Collins, 674
Beeson's Trusts, In re, 218
Beever v Hill, 366
Belemore v Watson, 308
Bertie v The Earl of Abingdon, 490
Blackburn, Ex parte, 549
Blockley v Blockley, 218
Boddingdon v Cleland, 226
Bolingbroke v Hinde, 273
Bolton v Bolton, 781
Boswell v Coaks, 727
Boyes, In re, Boyes v Carritt, 415
Bradbury v Cooper, 28
Bradford v Young, 590
Brandon, Ex parte, 296
Brier v Evison, 296

Broad, Ex parte, 699
Brown, In re, 498
Brown's, J. B., Settled Estate, Re, 637
Bruce v Morton, 788
Brunsdon v Humphrey, 674
Bulmer v Bulmer, 156
Burgess v Bottomley, 49
Cadogan, In re, Cadogan v Palagi, 50
Caldicot, Ex parte, 291
Cambrian Railway Company, In re, 674
Cape Breton Company, In re, 344
Carriage Co-operative Supply Association, In re, 619
Carter v Drysdale and others, 105
— v White, 123
Cartlew, G. H., 660
—, In re, 709
Chancellor v Brown, 395
Charleswood v Hammer, 710
Chaytor's Settled Estate Act, In re, 281
Chimney, Ex parte, 327
City Bank v The Sovereign Life Assurance Company, 362
Civil Service and General Store (Limited), In re, 636
Clapham v Andrews, 619
Clark, Ex parte, 596
— and The Corporation of Bath, In re An Arbitration between, 535
Clay, In re, deceased, Clay v Clay, 398
Clement v Cheesman, 722
Clifford v Clifford, 217
Clinton v The Duke of Newcastle, 772
Clive v Clive, 657
Cohen, Ex parte, 498
Colombia Chemical Factory and Pharmaceutical Works, In re, 122
Combe, In re, 414
Compagnie du Sénégal v Smith and Co, 57
Condon v Nollum, 344
Comolan v Leyland, 618, 738
Cooper, Ex parte, 343
—, In re, Cooper v Slight, 619
Cornford v Elliott, 579
Credit Gerundense (Limited) v Van Weede, 291
Cropper v Smith, 394
Cuddeford v Smith, 49
Cundy, Appellant; Le Cocq, Respondent, 550
Cunningham, Ex parte, 597
David v Howe, 636
Davidson v Illidge, 680, 709
Deacon v Arden, 415
Dellar, In re, 816
Denham, Charles, and Co, In re, 104
Deptford, Creek Bridge Company and Bevan, In re, 327
Devon and Cornwall Electric Light and Power Company, Cadogan's case, 658
Direct Spanish Telegraph Company v Shepherd, 635
Dixon, Ex parte, 497
— v Denney, 59
— v The Eastern and Midland Railway Company, 362
Dodd v Myers, 772
Dominion of Canada Plumbago Company, In re, 274, 457
Duck v Bates, 515
Elderton, In re, 103
Emery's Trusts, In re, 237
Essery v Coward, 398
Fawthrop v Stocks, 500
Ferrier v Ferrier, 481
Florence Land, &c., Company, Ex parte The Anglo-Italian Bank, 479
Foster v Davies, 27
Fox, In re, Dawes v Druit, 738
— v Dolly, 480
France v Clark, 342
Free v Amery, 415
French v The Municipal Permanent Benefit Building Society, 432
Gallard v Hawkins, 563
Glenny v Hartley, 273
Goodhart v Hyett, 70
Goss, In re, deceased, Nicholls v King, 737
Gould, Ex parte, 619
Grafton and Co v Watson and Co, 376
Great Northern Railway Company, In re, v Sanderson, 255
Green v Humphreys, 414
Greenwood's Trusts, In re, 675
Greys Brewery Company (Limited), In re, 194
Griffith v Blake, 635
—, Jones, and Co, In re, 124
Griffiths v Lewis, 376
Gully v Smith, 105
Hall v Hall, 618
Hampden v Wallis, 674
Hankinson v Birmingham, 156
Hardaker v Moorhouse, 416
Harrison, In re, Ex parte Harrison, 50
Harvey, Re, Wright v Woods, 303
— v Croydon Union Rural Sanitary Authority, 166, 290
— v The Municipal Building Society, 514
Hazel, In re, 431
Hempstead, Ex parte, 479
Hernando v Sawtell, 549
Hilbers v Parkinson, 57
Hills and Chapman, In re, 239
Hough v Windus, 255
Houghton v Brown, 431
Howarth v Howarth, 457
Howe v Smith, 592

Hoyland and Silkstone Colliery Company, In re, 818
Hunter, Appellant; Johnson, Respondent, 586
Holgate v Shutt, 597
Holland v Worley, 395
Hollender, Ex parte, 105
Holliday v Lewin, 342
Holey v Randall, 518
Holtby, In re, 37
Indian Zedone Company, In re, 398
Ingold v Powell, 273
Isle of Wight Railway Company v Taborin, 155
Jablochhoff Electric Light and Power Company, In re, 70
Jackson v Smith, 619
James, In re, 280, 637
Johnson, Ex parte, 69, 364
Johnson, E. M., In re, deceased, Cockerell v The Earl of Essex, 380
Jones, In re, 534
Judd's Trusts, In re, 274
Keay v Boulton, 88
Kemble v Trower, 788
Kemp, In re, Ex parte Luck, 124
Kensit v The Great Eastern Railway Company, 635
Kettlewell v Watson, 549
King v Ashwin, 376
Kinnaird v Denney, 784
Kino v Picard, 490, 535
Knatchbull's Settled Estates, In re, 691, 719
Knight v Gardner, 123
Knowles' Settled Estates, In re, 738
Krehl v Burrell, 48
Lacey, In re, 123
Lancaster, Ex parte, 155
Lees, In re, 534
— v Stanley, 415
Leicester Club and County Racecourse Company, In re, 517
Leonard v Wells, 216
Levetus v Newton, 166
Lewis v The Aberdare and Plymouth Company, 480
Liebig's Extract of Meat Company v Anderson, 106
Limited Company, A., In re, 516
Lincoln v Homfray, 550
London and County Banking Company v Terry, 272
Loughran v The Chapel House Colliery Company (Limited), 217
Lovell v Wallis, 256
Lybbe v Hart, 70
Lytton's Settled Estates, In re, 722
McClellan v Clark, 414
Macloed v Jones, 393
Main's Manufacturing Company (Limited), In re, 674
Mander v Harris, 673
Marlborough's (The Duke of) Settlement, In re, 764
Martin v Earl Beauchamp, 27
— and Co v A. L. Fyfe and Co, 49
Martyn, In re, 563
Massey and Carey, In re, 359
Matthew, Ex parte, 413
May, Ex parte, 413
Mellor v Porter, 168
Melville v Stringer, 635
Merriman, Ex parte, 192
Milan Tramways Company, In re, 237
Miller v Kemp, 788
Mitchell v Darley Main Colliery Company, 658
Mitchell v Ford, 70
Mocatta v Mocatta, 88
Monson and Co v Boehm, 361
Morgan v The London General Omnibus Company, 534
Morris v Griffith, 480
Munich's Application, In re, 49
Munns and Longden, In re, 457
Murray v Fowell, 48
Munro v Brooke, 534
Mutual Life Assurance v Langley, 517
Nadin v Bassett, 27
Newman v Belston, 301
Newton v Pender, 497
Nicholson, In re, Ex parte Quinn, 124
Nicholson's Trusts, In re, 377
Nicols v Pittman, 395
Northern Counties of England Fire Insurance Company v Whipp, 429
Norton v Compton, 534
Norwich Equitable Fire Assurance Company, In re, 619, 690
— v Bransford's case, 691
O'Halloran v King, 690
Olathe Silver Mining Co., In re, 518
Oldenburg, Prince Peter Georgwitsch, In the Goods of, 481
Oriental Bank Corporation, In re, 589
Orr Ewing v Orr Ewing, 516
Owen, Ex parte, 496
Ozd v Coombes, 378
Pandora Theatre Company, In re, 233
Parnell, In re, 675
Paul, In re, 550
Pearce, Ex parte, In re Williams, 70
Pearson v Pearson, 672
Pease, In the Goods of, 396
Pethybridge v Burrow, 517
Phillips v Jones, 800
Pierson v Knutsford Estates Company (Limited), 272
Platt v Mendel, and Gurney v The Countess of Canterbury, 656

Ponsonby v Ponsonby, 561
Potter v Whitham, 563
Potteries, Shrewsbury, and North Wales Railway Company, In re, 69
Pratt, Ex parte, 327
Preston v Luck, 736
Price, Re, Leighton v Price, 723
Priestman v Thomas, 416, 578
Pugh v Williams, 691
Ralph's Trade-Mark, In re, 68
Ranelagh's (Lord) Will, In re, 431
Ray's Settled Estates, In re, 239
Repelius, In the Goods of, 87
Revell, Ex parte, 618
Richards v Howell, 48
Riddell v Errington, 398
Rigg v Hughes, 217
Robinson, In re, 673
Rogers, Ex parte, 602, 598
Rolls v Miller, 103, 377
— v The School Board for London, 738
Roper's Patent Seat Raft and Marine Life-saving Apparatus Company v Copeman's Patent Seat Raft and Marine Life-saving Association (Limited), 218
Roshier v Roshier, 564
Rotherham Alum and Chemical Company, In re, 69
Routledge v Alcock, 797
Sandwich, The Earl of, deceased, The Earl of Sandwich v Lord Hyton, 806
Sawyer and Baring's Contract, In re, 739
— v Sawyer, 28, 101
Schmitz, Ex parte, 413
Scholfield v Spooner, 342
School Board for London v Wright, 429
Scott, In re, 618
Seear v Webb, 49
Serif v Luff, 432
Shaw v The Birmingham Corporation, 690
Sherrin, Re, London and County Bank v Terry, 57
Skerratt, Ex parte, 376
Smith, In re, Symes v Smith, 303
— v Darlow, 429
— v Macure, 238
— v Olding, 218
Société Anonyme des Manufactures de Glace v Tilghman's Patent Sand Blast Company, 37
Soutar's Policy, In re, 343
Standing v Bowring, 636
Stanford v Roberts, 304
Stevens v Bilton, 48
Storer, In re, 273
Stott v Fairbank, 103
— v Milne, 255
Strawbridge, Ex parte, 89
Strickland v Symons, 302
Strugnell v Strugnell, 710
Sumburgh Mining Company, In re, 273
Swinburne v Pitt, 737
Tarleton v Bruton, 657
Tarratt, In re, 656
Taurine Company, In re, 86
Taylor, Ex parte, 564
— v Mostyn, 48
— v The Pilsen, Joel, and General Electric Light Company, 516
— v Poncia, 239
Thatcher's Trusts, In re, 415
Thompson, Ex parte, 374
— v Barrett, 738
Three Towns Banking Company v Maddever, 709
Timachevo Estate Company, In re, 124
Timperon, In re, 709
Townley v Townley, 544
Troward v Troward, 699
Truman v The London and South-Western Railway Company, 167
Tugwell, In re, 579
Tweedie and Mills Contract, In re, 563
United Telephone Company v Dale, 298
— v The London and Globe Telephone and Maintenance Co. (Limited), 518
Upton v Brown, 396
Walden, Ex parte, 236
Walker v Hirsch, 721
Wall, In re, 217
Walker v Glenn, 710
Walne v Hill, 27
Wandsworth District Board of Works v United Telephone Company, 696
Warren's Trusts, In re, 302
Weldon v Weldon, 89
— v Winslow, 736
Welsh v Channell, 392
West Devon Great Consols Mine, In re, 591
West London Commercial Bank (Limited) v The Reliance Permanent Building Society, 636
Wheatley, H., and M., deceased, Smith v Spence, 675
Whitley v Whitley, 761
Wilde v Walford, 200
Wilkins v Rotherham, 737
Williams v Jenkins, 393
Wilson v Wilson, 691
Witham v Vane, 431
Wittman v Oppenheim, 490
Woodhouse v Hargreaves, 619
Wray v Kemp, 237
Wright v Sanderson, 350
Yate Collieries and Lime Works Company, In re, 29
Yeo v Dawe, 105
Younge v Cocker, 237

The Solicitors' Journal.

LONDON, NOVEMBER 3, 1883.

* Arrangements are being made, with the sanction of Mr. Justice FIELD, for the furnishing to this Journal of reports of cases decided in chambers under the NEW PRACTICE.

CURRENT TOPICS.

MR. JUSTICE MATHEW will, during the absence of Mr. Justice PEARSON on circuit, hear the actions in the list of Mr. Justice NORTH, the latter learned judge having had transferred to him the causes in the list of Mr. Justice PEARSON.

THE PLASTER CAST of the full-length statue of the late Mr. EDWIN WILKINS FIELD, which for the last ten months has stood in the courts' corridor of the Royal Courts of Justice, has been removed, and the original statue in marble, executed by Mr. WOOLNER in 1877, has been this week brought from the Law Institution, and placed on the same site.

THE CONTINUOUS INCREASE in the work of the courts may be estimated by means of the official lists of the Court of Appeal and of all the Divisions of the High Court, issued at the beginning of each of the sittings during the present year. At the Hilary Sittings the total of the list of actions, &c., and appeals was 1,994; at the Easter Sittings, 2,389; at the Trinity Sittings, 2,346; and, at the Michaelmas Sittings, 2,699; an increase of nearly thirty per cent. in the course of the year.

A PRUDENT COURSE is being taken in circulating confidentially for criticism and suggestion the draft of the new Bankruptcy Rules among the judges and registrars of county courts having bankruptcy jurisdiction, the chambers of commerce, and practitioners of eminence in this branch of the law. If a similar course were more generally adopted by the numerous authorities now having power to legislate by rules, we should have fewer complaints of imperfect and unworkable provisions. It is understood that the new rules are likely to be published about the end of the present month.

THE LIST of matters before the Court of Appeal contains 161 final appeals from the Chancery Division, the Probate and Divorce Division, and from the County Palatine of Lancaster and the Stannaries Court, and 42 interlocutory appeals from the former Divisions of the High Court. There are also 104 final appeals from the Queen's Bench Division, and 18 from the Admiralty Division, besides 44 interlocutory appeals from the two latter Divisions. The bankruptcy appeals number 30. On the whole list there are 399 appeals as against 296 last sittings, and 370 this time last year.

THE CHANCERY CAUSE LISTS contain 809 matters to be heard during the present sittings before the five judges of that Division. Of this number 103 are set down before Vice-Chancellor BACON; 193 before Mr. Justice KAY, of which number 98 are with witnesses; 220 before Mr. Justice CHITTY, of which number 48 are with witnesses; 78 before Mr. Justice NORTH; and 225 before Mr. Justice PEARSON, of which 101 are with witnesses. At the commencement of last sittings there were 850 matters in these lists, and a year ago 778.

THE CAUSE LIST of the Queen's Bench Division contains a total of 1,120 matters to be disposed of during the present sittings, consisting of 41 in the new trial paper, 20 in the special paper, and 72 opposed motions. There are also 95 matters in the Crown paper, and 6 in the Revenue paper. These, with 740 actions with juries, and 146 without juries, make up the total. The Probate cause list contains 49 cases, the Matrimonial 302, and the Admiralty 20.

THE SALARIES to be given to the official receivers under the new Bankruptcy Act for the districts of Leeds, Hull, Bristol, and Swansea and Neath have been announced. They are by no means large for the work which is to be performed, and it must be borne in mind (although there is no intimation to that effect in the paragraphs which have appeared) that the remuneration is, we believe, to include the salaries of the necessary clerks. This will in many places cut down the remuneration very considerably. It will be seen that, as we stated last week, the remuneration, except in the case of large towns, is to be by fees and percentages on assets collected. This arrangement is, however, we believe, tentative only, and it is not improbable that ultimately the remuneration of all the official receivers will be by salary, and it is possible that ultimately the official receivers will be required to give up their private practice.

AMONG OTHER CHANGES which will mark the commencement of the present sittings, not the least important will be that effected by ord. 54, rr. 13 and 14, which rules are intended to give effect to the provisions of ord. 5, r. 6, whereby every action in the Queen's Bench Division is to be assigned to a particular master. The actions are (subject to a power of transfer) to be assigned to the masters, not at the time of issuing the writ, but at the time when the first application at chambers is made; and then by "such alphabetical division of actions as the masters may from time to time arrange," and according to which the applications are to be taken. The master who in this way obtains seisin of any such application is at once to mark the action as his own, and all subsequent applications in the action are to be taken by him. In commenting on this provision we pointed out that some supplementary arrangements by which the plan is to be worked out are left to be elaborated by the masters themselves. These arrangements have been completed, and the result for the present sittings is that the following rota of masters has been settled, three of whom will be in attendance at chambers daily until the end of the Michaelmas Sittings—viz., A to F Division, Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, Master Johnson; Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays, Master Sir F. Pollock. G to N Division, Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, Master Dodgson; Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays, Master George Pollock. O to Z Division, Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, Master Francis; Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays, Master Manley Smith.

MR. MONTAGUE COCKSON, Q.C., in an interesting article on the "New Departure in Legal Reform" which he has contributed to the *Nineteenth Century* for the present month (from which we give an extract elsewhere), has, among other things, developed in much detail some novel suggestions as to circuit reform. Starting with the recommendations made by the Judicature Commissioners fourteen years ago, as to concentration of assizes, he points out that the existing system presents very different aspects according as we view it from its criminal or from its civil side; that the object of the criminal law "is not so much to punish the individual offender as to deter others, and its deterrent agency i

never so powerful as when its sentence is pronounced in the presence, or within the earshot, of the prisoner's friends and associates." These conditions do not apply to civil cases. Mr. COOKSON, therefore, arrives at the conclusion that a "stationary judge" for civil business should be established at a local centre, and a travelling judge for the trial of criminal cases should "gyrate" round that centre. The stationary judge would be stationary only during the continuance of the circuit period. He would be established in some centre to be fixed with reference both to railway accommodation and population. In a map appended to his article he has indicated seven such centres, York, Manchester, Liverpool, Birmingham, Gloucester, Cambridge, and Bristol. Each of the circuits, except North and South Wales, would thus be supplied with a centre. It is proposed that the South Wales and Oxford Circuit should be united, and that the North Wales Circuit should be taken by a single judge as at present, except that such judge should take exclusive charge of Chester. The advantages of this scheme would be the saving of time of travelling to the stationary judges, and the continuous sittings which would be held for civil business; and Mr. COOKSON adds that if commission days were abolished and all assize cases were required to be set down in London, enabling the circuit work to be better ascertained beforehand, the aggregate gain would be equivalent to the appointment of a fresh judge without a farthing of extra expense to the country. And we may add that the result would be that more time would be available for the trial of civil cases, and that many of the present evils of the circuit system would be greatly diminished. There are, of course, several items on the other side, some of which Mr. COOKSON does not notice. The portion of the circuit bar who practise both in the civil and criminal courts would be placed in an embarrassing position while the stationary judge was trying civil cases, say at York, and the "travelling judge" was trying prisoners at Newcastle. The same inconvenience would be felt, in a less degree, by solicitors. Jurors in civil cases would complain of the distance they had to travel to the centre, and the time they were detained there. This latter evil might, however, by judicious arrangements, be reduced to small dimensions, and Mr. COOKSON anticipates a great diminution of juries in civil cases. The scheme is an ingenious one, and deserves consideration.

EVERYONE must wish success to the suggestion of the Mayor of Sheffield that a fund should be raised by subscription for the erection of a suitable building for the art treasures and books collected by Mr. RUSKIN and his St. George's Guild, but there are difficulties in the way of some of the proposals. The building is to be vested in trustees for the purposes of the St. George's Museum, which is intelligible enough; but all objects placed in the museum "are to become the property of the town of Sheffield for ever," and "Mr. RUSKIN and his successors" are to undertake to defray the cost of management and of keeping up the museum. Before these proposals can be carried out several novel corporate bodies will have to be created. The "town of Sheffield" itself is not at present a corporation capable of holding property, although a corporation exists for the purpose of governing the town; and it is still more difficult to see how a distinguished art critic can be constituted a corporation sole for the purpose of undertaking the liability proposed to be laid on himself and "his successors." Moreover, what guarantee can be afforded that the views of the "successors" will represent Mr. RUSKIN's principles? MACAULAY once remarked that in the Church of England there was no security that the episcopal seat of WHITELock might not be occupied by a prelate holding the theology of MARSH.

THE FOLLOWING are the names and dates of call to the bar of the new Queen's Counsel, who are both members of the Northern Circuit:—Mr. RICHARD HENRY COLLINS, 1867, and Mr. JOHN C. BROMAN, 1870.

Lord Coleridge called from New York on Saturday last for Liverpool in the steamer Britannic.

THE REMUNERATION ORDER.

A good deal of interest has been excited by a decision given just before the Long Vacation, and reported very soon after its delivery in the WEEKLY REPORTER. It has been supposed in some quarters that this decision has settled the long-mooted question of the solicitor's scale fee for conducting a sale by auction; and that, if the view taken by the learned judge who gave the decision should be followed by other judges and upheld by the Court of Appeal, there will be an end to all the difficulties which have been hitherto felt by solicitors in London and elsewhere as to the course to be adopted upon sales by auction. We are sorry to say that the decision does not go to anything like this length; still it has an indirect bearing on the construction of the Order.

The facts of the case to which we refer (*In re Beck*, 31 W. R. 910) were as follows:—Certain settled lands were put up for auction by the tenant for life under the provisions of the Settled Land Act, 1882. The tenant for life, as vendor, employed a solicitor to act for him on the sale. It was agreed that the auctioneer should receive one-quarter per cent. commission. The biddings at the auction did not reach the reserve price, but next day the property was sold by private contract at such reserve price. After the completion of the sale the capital trust money arising therefrom became applicable in the hands of the trustees of the settlement in payment of the "costs, charges, and expenses of, or incidental to," the sale (section 21 (X.)), and questions arose as to the amount of the scale fee to which the solicitor of the tenant for life was entitled. Was he to be entitled to the full fee for conducting the sale and were the trustees also to pay the auctioneer's commission, or was the auctioneer's commission to be deducted from the solicitor's scale fee—that is, practically, be paid by the solicitor? It will be observed that, although the trustees, who it was contended must pay the solicitor's and auctioneer's commissions, were not the clients of the solicitor, who was employed by the tenant for life, still it might have been fairly said that the commission to the auctioneer was really paid by the tenant for life, the client of the solicitor, inasmuch as the payment was to be made out of money to the produce of which the tenant for life was entitled. A summons under rule 2 of the Rules under the Act was taken out to determine, among other questions, the following:—

1. Whether the solicitor was entitled to receive his costs and charges from the trustees according to the scale contained in Part I. of Schedule I. to the General Order made in pursuance of the Solicitors' Remuneration Act, 1881, and what such charges should be?

2. Whether the one-quarter per cent. commission agreed to be paid to the auctioneer was included in such scale, or should be paid in addition by the trustees?

Counsel for the trustees argued that the payment to the solicitor should include the commission paid to the auctioneer for conducting the sale; that paragraph 4 of the Remuneration Order says that the scale shall not include auctioneer's charges, but that this must refer to charges for plans, surveys, &c., and could not mean a commission for conducting the sale, "otherwise the trustees would pay twice over for conducting the sale." It does not, however, appear from the report, nor have we been able to ascertain, that the counsel for the trustees urged the really important argument noticed above—viz., that, since the commission paid to the auctioneer would be payable out of the settlement funds, it would, in fact, be payable by the tenant for life, so as to make rule 11 in Schedule I., Part I., applicable. Vice-Chancellor Bacon decided that the trustees must pay to the solicitor out of the purchase-money commission according to the scale for conducting the sale by auction, and also commission for deducting the title; and he added that, "the auctioneer's charges being excluded from the scale, the trustees must also pay the one-quarter per cent. commission" to the auctioneer.

We should suppose that few people doubted, before this decision, that the expression in rule 4 of the Order, "auctioneer's or valuers' charges," would include a commission paid to the auctioneer by any one not the client of the solicitor conducting the sale; and this seems to be in strictness all that the case directly decides. But the important fact remains that, although the auctioneer was, in point of fact, paid a commission out of money in which the client had at all events a partial interest, the solicitor was held entitled to receive his scale fee for conducting the sale.

THE NEW BANKRUPTCY AUTHORITY.

Once more practitioners are put to the necessity of studying a new code of the law of bankruptcy and of considering the practical effect of the changes enacted by a new statute. Most of the general principles upon which the more recent Bankruptcy Acts have been founded are maintained in the new Bankruptcy Act, and the changes made by it will, with possibly but one exception, be in matters of routine and detail only. We propose to postpone our consideration of them until the new rules, the draft of which is now under consideration, have appeared, so as to be able to present to our readers a complete view of the provisions which will come into force at the commencement of next year.

The one exception to which we refer, however, is a feature of the greatest importance—namely, the appointment of the Board of Trade as the paramount authority in the administration of bankrupts' estates. Strong opposition was raised upon political grounds to the principle of placing the administration of bankrupts' estates under a department of State, but, as the question came to be more fully considered and discussed, the objections which at first seemed very formidable became less so, and now we have to consider the proposition as an accomplished fact. It may be useful at once to summarize and bring together the various sections which deal with the question of the control of the Board of Trade in bankruptcy matters.

First, then, as to the officers by whom the Board of Trade are to perform the duties assigned to them by the Act. Section 66 provides for the appointment by the Board of Trade of such persons as they think fit to be official receivers of debtors' estates to act under the general authority and directions of the Board of Trade, but to be officers of the courts to which they are attached. In the Bill, as originally introduced, the words were, "appoint such registrars of county courts not having jurisdiction in bankruptcy, high bailiffs, and such other persons," but the words in italics were struck out by the Grand Committee, so as to throw the whole responsibility of the appointments upon the Board of Trade; and an important subsection was also inserted by the House of Lords providing that the number of official receivers to be appointed, and the districts to be assigned to them, shall be fixed by the Board of Trade with the concurrence of the Treasury, and that one person only shall be appointed for each district unless the Board, with the like concurrence, shall otherwise direct, but the same person may be appointed to act for more than one district. It may be within the recollection of our readers that Mr. Chamberlain, in the House of Commons, in reply to a question, stated that he expected to be able to work the Act with not more than sixty official receivers. Inasmuch, however, as there are some 131 county courts, besides the London Bankruptcy Court, exercising bankruptcy jurisdiction, this will obviously require the grouping of several courts into one district in many instances, and this sub-section has evidently been inserted to meet that point. In addition to the appointment of official receivers, section 71 provides for the appointment by the Board, with the approval of the Treasury, of such additional officers, clerks, and servants as may be required; and the transitory provisions of the Act (sections 143—161) provide for the transfer of the Comptroller in Bankruptcy, with a number of other administrative officials now attached to the London Bankruptcy Court, to the Board of Trade, and also contain a number of other provisions as to the abolition of existing offices and the appointment of the holders thereof to other offices. Section 162 also provides for the appointment of an officer to collect and get in unclaimed and undistributed funds and dividends. This officer, we presume, is the newly appointed Inspector in Bankruptcy. The remuneration to be paid to such officials of the Board of Trade is provided by section 128, which, in general terms, states that the Board of Trade, with the concurrence of the Treasury, shall direct whether any, and what, remuneration is to be allowed to any officer of, or person attached to, the Board of Trade performing any duties under the Act.

Such being the officers by whom the Board of Trade are to perform their duties under the Act, we will now consider the duties assigned to them. The most important of these are the duties to be performed by the official receivers, upon which we commented at some length (27 SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, p. 359) in reference to the provisions of the Bill as originally introduced relating thereto,

and we will not repeat what we then said. In its passage through Parliament, however, a number of amendments were made. With respect to the duties of official receivers, "as regards the debtor," these are stated in section 69, which appeared as clause 63 in the original Bill, and no alteration has been made in that clause. Other parts of the Bill relating to the same subject, however, have been amended. Thus, with regard to the debtor's statement of affairs, a proviso was inserted in sub-section 2 of section 70, on Report in the House of Commons, to the effect that, when the debtor cannot himself prepare a proper statement of affairs, the official receiver may, subject to any personal conditions, and at the expense of the estate, employ some person or persons to assist in the preparation of the statement of affairs. It is worthy of note that in Grand Committee Mr. Deane proposed the addition of words to clause 14 (now section 16) to the effect that the court might, on the application of the debtor, and if the official receiver should consider it absolutely necessary to do so, authorize the employment of an accountant to assist in the preparation of the statement, but this proposal was opposed by the Government and rejected by a large majority. We are glad that the Government afterwards saw fit to change their views on this subject, as we think that the provision as it now stands will, if properly and carefully acted upon, be found to be most valuable in enabling official receivers to make their reports to the court and creditors. In section 17 (which appeared as clause 15 in the Bill), sub-section 5, an alteration was also made, the original proposal being that the official receiver should "take such part in the examination of the debtor as the Board of Trade may direct," but the words printed in italics were struck out by the Grand Committee. With respect to the duties of official receivers, "as regards the estate of a debtor," they are defined by section 70 (which appeared as clause 64 in the original Bill). In this section a new duty was inserted by the Grand Committee (sub-section 1b)—viz., "To authorize the special manager to raise money or make advances for the purpose of the estate in any case where, in the interests of the creditors, it appears necessary so to do." In addition to this the provision "to issue forms of proxy for use at the first meeting of creditors" was extended to all meetings of creditors by the omission of the word "first;" and the provision as to advertising was made to include an advertisement "of the debtor's public examination." Section 21 (in the original Bill clause 18) also underwent a most material alteration with regard to the appointment of an official receiver to be trustee. Sub-clause 5 of the original clause was, "The creditors may, if they so desire it, appoint the official receiver of the debtor's estate to be trustee of his property," but this was omitted by the Grand Committee, and a provision was substituted that "the official receiver shall not, save as by this Act provided, be the trustee of the bankrupt's property," and other alterations were also made in the clause in accordance therewith, and a further provision made (sub-section 8) requiring the official receiver to call a meeting of creditors to appoint a trustee in case of adjudication occurring after the first meeting and no trustee being appointed prior thereto. Rule 22 of the first schedule was also amended so as to give the chairman of a meeting (which includes the official receiver when so acting at the first meeting under rule 7) power to adjourn the same only "with the consent of the meeting," and a new rule (28) was inserted in the second schedule, giving the official receiver before the appointment of a trustee all the powers of a trustee with respect to the examination, admission, and rejection of proofs.

It will be seen that the Board of Trade, through the official receivers, will exercise very important duties, and be intrusted with great powers with regard to debtors coming under the provisions of the new Act; but it is in the provisions regulating the control over trustees that the Board are given the greatest powers. Section 21, which relates to the appointment of a trustee, provides for every trustee to give security in manner prescribed to the satisfaction of the Board of Trade, with power to the Board to "object to the appointment on the ground that it has not been made in good faith by a majority in value of the creditors voting, or that the person appointed is not fit to act as trustee, or that his connection with or relation to the bankrupt, or his estate, or any particular creditor, makes it difficult for him to act with impartiality in the interests of the creditors generally." In case of such objection the Board, if so required by a majority in value of creditors, is (sub-section 8) to notify the same to the High Court, which may decide on

its validity, and other provisions are also contained in the section for the appointment by the Board of some fit person as trustee on failure by the creditors to appoint a trustee within the times therein specified. The power thus given to the Board to interfere with the choice of a trustee by the creditors may appear at first sight to be uncalled for, but we think experience of the working of the Act of 1869 fully demonstrates the advisability of some such provision. By section 72, the remuneration to be allowed to a trustee is to be fixed by an ordinary resolution of the creditors in the nature of a commission or percentage, and sub-section 2 thereof provides that "if one-fourth in number or value of the creditors dissent from the resolution, or the bankrupt satisfies the Board of Trade that the remuneration is unnecessarily large, the Board of Trade shall fix the remuneration. In this, again, we think a very desirable provision has been made.

In section 74, which regulates the payment of moneys belonging to bankrupts' estates into the Bank of England, the control over trustees given to the Board of Trade is also somewhat wide. That section, after providing for an account to be called the Bankruptcy Estates Account to be kept by the Board with the Bank of England, requires every trustee to pay all moneys received by him in all bankrupts' estates into such account, with power to the Board, on the application of the committee of inspection, in certain events therein mentioned, to "authorize the trustee to make his payments into and out of such local bank as the committee may select." It will be remembered that this sub-section was the subject of a prolonged debate in Grand Committee, and that the Government only averted a defeat on the original proposal by consenting to very considerable modifications therein. Sub-section 5 provides a penalty for any trustee retaining in hand for more than ten days a sum exceeding fifty pounds, or such other amount as the Board may authorize. He is to pay interest at the rate of twenty pounds per centum per annum on the excess retained, to have no claim for remuneration, may be removed from his office by the Board, and be liable to pay any expenses occasioned by his default. The audit of trustees' accounts is regulated by section 78, which provides that every trustee shall, at such times as may be prescribed, not less than twice a year, during his tenure of office send to the Board of Trade, or as they direct, an account of his receipts and payments as trustee in a prescribed form in duplicate, and verified by affidavit. The Board are to cause such accounts to be audited, for which purpose the trustee is to furnish them "with such vouchers and information as the Board may require, and the Board may at any time require the production of, and inspect, any books or accounts kept by the trustee." One copy of the account so audited is to be filed and kept by the Board, and the other is to be filed with the court, and each is to be open to inspection. In connection with returns by trustees to the Board of Trade, section 81 also provides that every trustee, not less than once every year, shall transmit to the Board a statement showing the proceedings in the bankruptcy up to the date thereof, which statements the Board are to cause to be examined and to call the trustee to account for any misfeasance, neglect, or omission, and require him to make good any loss which the estate may have sustained thereby.

The section relating to the release of trustees (section 82) also introduces the Board of Trade in some important particulars. Where the estate has been realized and divided, or the trustee has ceased to act, or has resigned or been removed, the Board is required, on his application, to "cause a report on his accounts to be prepared, and, on his complying with all the requirements of the Board, shall take into consideration the report, and any objection which may be urged by any creditor or person interested against the release of the trustee, and shall either grant or withhold the release accordingly, subject, nevertheless, to an appeal to the High Court." Sub-section 3 of the same section also provides that an order of release by the Board shall discharge a trustee from all liability in respect to his administration of the estate and conduct as trustee. Section 86, sub-section 2, also provides that "if the Board are of opinion that a trustee appointed by the creditors is guilty of misconduct, or fails to perform his duties under this Act, the Board may remove him from his office; but if the creditors, by ordinary resolution, disapprove of his removal, he or they may appeal against it to the High Court." By sub-section 3 of section 87 it is further provided that, in case the creditors do not, within three weeks, fill up a vacancy in the office of trustee as provided by the previous sub-sections, "the official receiver shall report the matter

to the Board of Trade, and the Board may appoint a trustee." Some further provisions as to the control of the Board of Trade over trustees generally are also contained in section 91, which requires the Board to take cognizance of the conduct of trustees, to inquire into any neglect of duty by them, or complaint by any creditor in regard thereto, and to take action thereon, and empowers them to require any trustee to answer any inquiry made by them, and to apply to the court to examine on oath the trustee or any other person concerning the bankruptcy, and also to direct a local investigation to be made of the books and vouchers of the trustee. In connection with the control of the Board of Trade over trustees, section 22 ought also to be mentioned. That section contains provisions as to the appointment of a committee of inspection, and sub-section 9 thereof provides that "if a committee of inspection is not appointed, any act or thing or any discretion or permission by this Act authorized or required to be done or given by the committee may be done or given by the Board of Trade on the application of the trustee." And in section 121, relating to small bankruptcies, also it is provided that the official receiver shall be trustee, and that "there shall be no committee of inspection but the official receiver may do, with the permission of the Board of Trade, all things which may be done by the trustee with the permission of the committee of inspection." We believe it is anticipated that under this provision the official receivers will be trustees in a very large number of cases.

The control of the Board of Trade in bankrupts' estates is also very wide, and, in connection therewith, the provisions relating to the payment into the Bank of England are also important. We have stated the provisions of section 74 of the Act so far as they affect trustees, and other provisions thereof are that all moneys received by the Board in respect of proceedings under the Act shall be paid to the Bankruptcy Estates Account, and that the account of the Accountant in Bankruptcy at the Bank of England shall be transferred to the same account. Following up the same subject, section 76 provides for the payment by the Board of Trade to the Treasury of any moneys in the Bankruptcy Estates Account in excess of what may be required for the purpose of bankrupts' estates to be invested in Government securities, with further provision as to realizing any part of such securities whenever required for the purpose of bankrupts' estates, and for the dividends arising from such investments to be paid to such account as the Treasury may direct, regard being had "to the amount thus derived in fixing the fees payable in respect of bankruptcy proceedings." On the same subject of dealing with the funds of bankrupts' estates, section 101 contains provisions for the payment by the Board of Trade of any moneys or funds, received by an official receiver or the Board, to any person whom the court may declare to be entitled thereto.

In addition to the foregoing provisions, there are a number of provisions in the Act relating to miscellaneous powers and duties of the Board of Trade or the President thereof. Thus, section 127 empowers the Lord Chancellor, from time to time, with the concurrence of the President of the Board, "to make, revoke, and alter general rules for carrying into effect the objects of this Act," &c. Section 130 also requires the Treasury to make annual returns, to be laid before the House of Commons, showing the receipts and expenditure during the year in respect of bankruptcy proceedings, and provides for the accounts of the Board to be audited in such manner as the Treasury direct, and for the Board, for the purpose of such account, to make such returns and give such information as the Treasury may direct. Section 131 also requires the registrars and other officers of bankruptcy courts to make to the Board of Trade certain returns of the business of their courts and offices from which the Board are to cause books to be prepared which shall, under the regulations of the Board, be open for public information and searches. With regard to certificates by the Board of Trade, section 138 provides that a certificate of the Board that a person has been appointed trustee under the Act shall be conclusive evidence of his appointment; and section 140 also provides for proceedings of the Board to be received in evidence, and a certificate signed by the President of the Board to be conclusive evidence of the fact certified. Section 162 also provides for the collection by the Board of unclaimed dividends and undistributed funds under this and certain other preceding Acts.

With regard to appealing against any action or decision of the Board it will be seen by a reference to the various sections in which

the power to appeal is mentioned, that such an appeal will only lie to the High Court, and not to any local court which may be exercising jurisdiction in the bankruptcy. Thus, in section 21, which regulates the appointment of trustee, and which we have already referred to, such an appeal is given in a certain case by sub-section 3. The same will also be found in sub-section 1 of section 82, relating to the release of a trustee, and in sub-section 2 of section 86 relating to the removal of a trustee.

RECENT DECISIONS.

EXECUTOR'S RETAINER.

(*Wilson v. Coxwell*, L. R. 23 Ch. D. 764.)

The right of an executor to retain his own debt in full, in priority to all other creditors of his testator of the same degree, is a curious anomaly in our law which has probably escaped destruction so long only because it is not in practice so much abused as it easily might be. The sickles of our law reformers have, of late, been flourished about so freely, that its prolonged escape is a cause for wonder; and we can hardly suppose that it will very much longer survive. But the vitality of its present existence is shown by the above-mentioned case; and the history of the law relating to the question reveals some curious features. It is worthy of note that Mr. Justice Pearson, who decided the case of *Wilson v. Coxwell*, maintained when he was at the bar in *Crowder v. Stewart* (L. R. 16 Ch. D. 368), apparently with something like the warmth of conviction, that *Hinde Palmer's Act* (32 & 33 Vict. c. 46), by which the priority of specialty creditors over simple contract creditors was abolished, had also abolished the executor's right of retainer. This view was very far from being adopted by the late Vice-Chancellor Malins, who decided the last-cited case, and who seems even to have expressed the opinion that the Act, instead of abolishing the executor's right, had in fact extended it, enabling an executor who was merely a creditor by simple contract now to retain even as against specialty creditors (L. R. 16 Ch. D., at p. 370). This being so, it is a little odd to find that, according to the report of *Wilson v. Coxwell*, the case of *Crowder v. Stewart* purports to be there cited to prove that the last-stated proposition is not true.

However, in the present case of *Wilson v. Coxwell*, the plaintiff's counsel admitted that his claim, being derived through an executor by simple contract, must be postponed to the claims of the specialty creditors; and the interest of the discussion turned upon another point. The plaintiff was the personal representative of one executor out of two, who had died leaving the other surviving. It follows that the plaintiff did not represent the original testator, who was of course represented by his surviving executor. The question was discussed whether, under such circumstances, the plaintiff could claim the right of retainer which had once been vested in the executor whom he represented. In *Hopton v. Dryden* (Prec. Ch. 179), it was held that an executor of an executor "who is not the executor to the first testator" is upon that ground disabled to retain. But it does not appear in that case that the deceased executor had in his lifetime made any assertion of, or attempt to enforce, his right of retainer; while in *Wilson v. Coxwell* he had. Mr. Justice Pearson thought that this constituted a sufficient ground upon which to distinguish the two cases, and in the one before him he allowed the plaintiff's claim for retainer. In *Hopton v. Dryden* it was said, *arguendo*, that the reason for allowing retainer is, that the executor cannot sue himself; and the decision of the court agrees very well with this argument. If this view be adopted, the distinction taken in *Wilson v. Coxwell* will seem to be of doubtful validity; and some of our readers may possibly think that the doctrine received in that case an unnecessary extension, which is not altogether a matter for rejoicing.

CHARGE FOR COSTS UPON PROPERTY RECOVERED OR PRESERVED.

(*Greer v. Young*, C. A. 31 W. R. 930.)

Section 28 of the Solicitors Act, 1860, does not say that a charge is to be given upon the interest of the persons by whom the solicitor is employed, but that in every case in which a solicitor is employed

to prosecute or defend any suit it shall be lawful for the court to declare him entitled to a charge on the property recovered or preserved through his instrumentality. The words would seem to be plain enough, but a notion has long prevailed that the solicitor must be "employed" by the person whose property is to be charged. Vice-Chancellor Bacon pointed out the incorrectness of this construction in *Bulley v. Bulley* (26 W. R. 310), where he said that "the law of salvage depends upon plain fundamental principles, and not upon Acts of Parliament. A ship in a sinking condition is saved, and a right to salvage at once accrues to the persons effecting the rescue. There is no inquiry as to who is the owner of the ship, but the salvor is paid what is just. This is the principle of section 28 of the Solicitors Act. It is quite immaterial who is the owner." On appeal this view was affirmed by the late Master of the Rolls and Lords Justices James and Cotton. It is therefore somewhat surprising to see the point raised again in the present case, with the result that the doctrine has been re-affirmed with admirable clearness by the present Master of the Rolls, who said, "On reading the section I take the view of the theory of it which was enunciated by Vice-Chancellor Bacon in *Bulley v. Bulley*—that is, the theory of salvage. I do not adopt it as an accurate analogy in every particular; but the fundamental theory is the same—namely, that what is recovered or preserved by the solicitor's exertions is to be treated as salvage, and that he is to be remunerated on the footing of a salvage service having been performed. The charge is independent of contract when something in danger is saved by meritorious services. If that is so, it is wholly immaterial whether the person whose property was recovered or preserved employed the solicitor or not. Of course, a solicitor could not be allowed to go in as a mere volunteer to recover property; but if anybody *bonâ fide* employs him that is sufficient; there is nothing in the section which says that he must be employed by the person whose property it is sought to charge."

THE NEW PRACTICE.

PETITIONS.

PRACTITIONERS will do well to bear in mind the provisions of ord. 55, r. 2, of the new rules, which substitutes summonses for petitions under the Trustee Relief Acts and the Legacy Duty Act up to £1,000, instead of £300 as before provided under Consolidated Order 35, rule 1 (2) and (3). Order 55, it should also be observed, provides that all applications under the Parliamentary Deposits Act or the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, for investment, payment of dividends, and payment out of deposits under the former Act, and for *interim* and permanent investment and payment of dividends of compensation money under the latter Act, must be made by summons instead of, as formerly, by petition. The result of the new provisions will be materially to diminish the number of petitions, and to increase the work of the chief clerks by throwing on them the investigation of the evidence, which was formerly done by the judge or registrar.

FI. FA. FOR DEBT AND COSTS.

A CORRESPONDENT says:—"In February last a plaintiff issued a *fi. fa.* against a defendant's goods. Thereupon, the latter agreed with plaintiff to pay the amount of the levy by instalments, and the plaintiff authorised the sheriff to withdraw, which he did. Default has been made in payment of the instalments, and there is a balance now owing. It appears to me that, having regard to ord. XLII., r. 18, the plaintiff cannot issue a second *fi. fa.*, and that therefore he cannot recover the balance of his debt. I shall be glad to know if this is the case. It was stipulated by the plaintiff with the defendant, on the sheriff's withdrawal, that he (the sheriff) might re-enter under the existing writ in case of default in payment of the instalments, but I question whether this fact makes any difference." The new rule, ord. XLII., r. 18, applies to the whole of the High Court the practice which formerly, under ord. XLII., r. 13a, of the old rules (made in April, 1880) only applied to the Chancery Division. In that division the rule was understood to be that only two writs of *fi. fa.* could be issued—the latter of which must be for the costs only.

The Court of Appeal will take bankruptcy appeals on Fridays instead of Thursdays as heretofore

REVIEWS.

PATENTS.

THE PATENTABILITY OF INVENTIONS. By HENRY CHILD MERWIN. Boston, U.S.: Little, Brown, & Co.

Probably the first idea which will occur to anyone who takes up this substantial volume of nearly 800 pages will be that it is a large book on a somewhat small subject, for the author does not by any means deal with the whole range of the patent law, but confines himself strictly to his subject, as stated in the title of the work, which is expounded in sixty-six pages only out of the 830 of which Hindmarch on Patents consists, and in the same number out of the 500 pages of Mr. Agnew's work. But to those who are aware of the immense number of decisions on all branches of the law of patents which are to be found in the bulky volumes of the United States *Official Patent Gazette*, it will hardly be a subject for surprise that such a book as the present could be written on such topics as the subject-matter, novelty, and utility of patentable inventions.

The object of the book, as set forth at p. 47, is, first, to show what is in itself patentable in the United States; and, secondly, to set forth the circumstances and conditions which affect the right of an original inventor to a patent at the time that his invention is completed, leaving on one side the questions of abandonment and public use or sale. This object Mr. Merwin states it to be his intention to endeavour to accomplish, chiefly by abstracts of all the important cases on the subject in the Federal courts, and of the leading cases in the English courts, prefacing them by an attempt to state and to explain the principles which they illustrate. This description of the book seems generally borne out by the facts. Legal treatises may be roughly said to consist of collections of legal propositions, with references to the cases by which they are supported, and strings of statements of cases arranged according to the matters to which they relate. Mr. Merwin's plan seems designed to combine the qualities of both these classes of books, as he first gives the principles which he considers to prevail on each point, and then follows them up by a full statement of all the cases which he considers of importance, so that the statement in the preface, that the work consists mainly of abstracts of cases, is justified.

The value of the book for English readers is, of course, very much diminished by the fact that it is entirely based on the American Statute Law, which has gone even further than the Patents Act which is shortly to come into operation in the United Kingdom, and has replaced even the Statute of Monopolies, on which the whole patent system of the United Kingdom and the United States has been built up, by modern enactments. Further than this, the mass of the cases out of which the book is constructed are necessarily cases decided by the United States courts, which have no binding force in this country, though much weight would, of course, be attributed to them as able and learned *responsa prudentum*. And, again, the English cases on the subject treated are necessarily, for an analogous reason, dealt with somewhat cursorily, or else omitted. But, after all, this amounts to no more than saying that the book is written, in the first instance, to meet the requirements of the American public, and after some examination of the book we can say that it seems likely to form a useful manual for such readers. It may even be that the somewhat poetical style which is adopted in some parts, and which is hardly familiar to us in the pages of a law-book, may have its attractions for them. Thus, after stating in slightly circuitous terms, that thought or experiments cannot alone constitute inventions, the author states that "reasoning is unravelling, and invention weaving, of thought. Reasoning is an analytic, invention a synthetic, process. In one case a truth is drawn out, in the other it is constructed."

However, even for English readers the book will not be without its use, as it classifies and arranges in an intelligible form the decisions in which the patent system of the United States is embodied with respect to patentability, and such recent cases as *United Telephone Company v. Harrison, Cox-Walker, & Co.* (30 W. R. 724) are duly recorded. More than this can hardly be said. The book scarcely deserves the same praise which should be accorded to a well-written treatise on the same subject, nor are the statements of the cases abstracted to be regarded as by any means model digests.

The book is well printed in good, clear type, it has a table of cases containing all the references, and an index which seems fairly complete.

THE CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT.

THE PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS (CORRUPT AND ILLEGAL PRACTICES) ACT, 1883. Edited, with an Introduction and full Explanatory and Legal Notes, by HENRY HOBHOUSE, Barrister-at-Law. W. Maxwell & Son.

THE LAW RELATING TO CORRUPT PRACTICES AT ELECTIONS, AND THE

PRACTICE ON ELECTION PETITIONS. By MILES WALKER MATTINSON and STUART CUNNINGHAM MACASKIE, Barristers-at-Law. Waterlow & Sons (Limited).

THE CORRUPT PRACTICES PREVENTION ACT, 1883, WITH NOTES AND AN APPENDIX OF STATUTES AND RULES AFFECTING THE SAME, AND GENERAL INDEX. By Sir WILLIAM WHEELHOUSE, Q.C. Reeves & Turner.

Mr. Hobhouse's manual, which we have checked on a large number of points, is an exceedingly careful and complete edition of the Act. The notes, which are printed on the opposite page to the text, are always intelligent and useful. Mr. Hobhouse, having assisted in drafting the Bill, is in a position to speak with some authority as to the object and meaning of the provisions; and he has given the profession the results of his knowledge in a very satisfactory form. We observe here and there what appears to be a somewhat bold statement; for instance, the remark at page 161 that the Act does not "prevent the paid employment of persons not specially engaged or paid for the promotion of a particular election—e.g., a salaried registration agent or the regular staff of clerks of a solicitor who is engaged as election agent." This is, of course, true as regards *bond fide* payment of a registration agent not for the purposes of the particular election, but should it not have been added that a payment of a registration agent of a salary calculated so as to pay not only for his services as such, but also for services during an election would not be a safe proceeding? There are useful tables of maximum expenditure, both for boroughs and counties, and there is a valuable chapter on the effect of the Act on municipal elections.

Messrs. Mattinson's and Macaskie's book is also a good one. It differs altogether in scope from Mr. Hobhouse's work, being in fact a complete treatise on the law relating to corrupt practices at elections, considered, first, with reference to the effect of corrupt and illegal acts upon the seat; and, secondly, with reference to their consequences to individuals. There is much value in having the new provisions thus collated with the old ones. The observations in the chapter on the nature and amount of election expenses are very practical and well arranged, and it contains tables of the maximum expenses in boroughs and counties. The index is susceptible of improvement.

Sir W. Wheelhouse's book gives the Act with short notes, which frequently contain either a paraphrase of the section or suggestions such as "Very great care will be necessary in the exercise of the power given by this sub-section," "Mark the restriction contained in this sub-section," &c. There is a full index, and the work is extremely well printed.

LEGAL DIARIES.

THE LAWYERS' COMPANION AND DIARY, 1884. Edited by JOHN THOMPSON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Thirty-eighth Annual Issue. Stevens & Sons; Shaw & Sons.

THE SOLICITORS' DIARY, ALMANAC, AND LEGAL DIRECTORY, 1884. Fortieth Year of Publication. Waterlow & Sons (Limited).

WATERLOW, BROTHERS, & LAYTON'S LEGAL DIARY AND ALMANAC FOR 1884. Edited by H. ALAN SCOTT, Barrister-at-Law. Waterlow Brothers & Layton.

The Lawyers' Companion is too well known to need description. It is, as those of our readers who have used it will know, a work of praiseworthy accuracy, and of great convenience to the practitioner.

Messrs. Waterlow & Sons' Diary contains all the usual information very well put together, and a treatise on the stamp laws and the law and practice of stamping documents, which is stated to have been revised by Mr. Bond, of the Solicitors' Department in the Inland Revenue Office.

Messrs. Waterlow Brothers & Layton's Diary is also full of useful information on all subjects looked for in a work of this description.

We have compared the entries in all these diaries of the names of solicitors in a certain small country town, with which we are acquainted. We find in everyone of them the name of a solicitor who has no office in the town. Would it not be well in future, that the editors should see that no solicitor's name is mentioned who has not an office in the place under which his name is given?

The *Ohio Law Journal* states that a Kentucky gentleman, on his death-bed, made a will, in which he bequeathed to his wife, who was *mentally*, in case she would be delivered of a daughter, one-half of his estate, the other half to be given to such daughter; but in case the expected heir was a son, one-third was to go to the wife and two-thirds to the son. Shortly after the testator's death the wife gave birth to twins—a boy and a girl. The question now puzzling the lawyers is: How shall the estate be divided? The wife claims one-half the estate because she had a daughter; the daughter's guardian claims one-half the estate under the will, and the guardian of the son vows he will not accept less than two-thirds of the estate. The matter is now pending in the Hickman Circuit Court.

DIVISIONAL COURTS.

MR. MONTAGUE COOKSON, Q.C., in an article in the *Nineteenth Century* on "The New Departure in Legal Reform," has the following remarks on Divisional Courts:—"The institution known as the Divisional Court has caused dissatisfaction in many quarters and on very intelligible grounds. It was denounced by Sir Henry James in his remarkable speech in the House of Commons on March 21, 1879, as a 'waste of judicial strength,' and his observations were not dissented from by his predecessor in office, the late Lord Justice Holker, then Attorney-General. Divisional courts, as is well known, were established by the Judicature Act, 1873, for the discharge of two distinct functions—(1) as courts of intermediate appeal in substitution for the old courts in *Banc*; (2) as courts of appeal from petty and quarter sessions and county and other local courts, the appeal in this case being final unless leave were given to carry it further. Sir H. James, on the occasion referred to, declared the intermediate appeal to the Divisional Court to be 'a new and useless stage, adding delay, expense, and inconvenience, without any resulting benefit.' This language was hardly too strong, and it has been indorsed by resolutions passed by several law societies throughout the kingdom. If there has been a miscarriage at *Nisi Prius*, either on the score of misdirection, or of verdict against evidence, the Divisional Court may entertain an application for a new trial, the decision on which is liable to be reversed in the Court of Appeal proper, while the decision of that court is, in its turn, liable to be reconsidered by the House of Lords, making in all *three* appeals. Again, if an order is made by a master in chambers, of however trifling a character, an appeal from it lies to the judge in chambers, from the judge in chambers to the Divisional Court, from the Divisional Court to the Court of Appeal, and from the Court of Appeal to the House of Lords, thus making no less than *four* appeals. It is obvious that, in each of these cases, there is at least one appeal too many, and the superfluous appeal is that made to the Divisional Court. In the Chancery Division no such needless expense or delay is incurred. As there are no juries there, there can be no misdirection or verdict against evidence, and therefore there can be no motions for new trial for a divisional court to hear. There are, undoubtedly, appeals from chambers in the Chancery Division, as in the Queen's Bench Division, but no divisional court is ever formed to hear these appeals, which are made direct to the Court of Appeal. Indeed, when a question has been argued before a chancery judge in chambers, it may go to the Court of Appeal without being heard in court at all, provided the judge will certify that he does not desire to have the case further argued before himself. But it is not only as an intermediate court of appeal that the constitution of the Divisional Court is open to serious criticism. Viewed as a court of final appeal, it presents strange anomalies. The number of judges composing it is normally two, and may be considerably more, yet appeals from county courts both in admiralty and bankruptcy are heard before a single judge, and there is no limit to the sums involved in these last appeals, since the jurisdiction of the county courts in bankruptcy is itself unlimited. Again, a judge of the Chancery Division sitting alone grants injunctions in perpetuity, while a divisional court must be convened to listen to an application for a prerogative writ of *mandamus*. Further, a single judge of the Chancery Division can issue a writ of prohibition, but such writ can only be issued on the Crown side of the Queen's Bench Division by a divisional court. This condition of things was justified by Lord Coleridge's Committee on the ground that to compel all such applications to be made to a single judge would be 'to deprive the judgment given by the court of first instance of much of its weight and authority, and to invite and multiply appeals in cases in which the suitors cannot always afford them, and in which delay is often a serious inconvenience.' It is very doubtful whether the combined judgment of two judges of first instance does carry so much more weight than the judgment of a judge sitting alone as is here attributed to it, and if the two judges should unfortunately happen to differ the expense of the argument before them is, of course, thrown away. Moreover, as divisional courts do not consider themselves bound by each other's decisions, the singular spectacle is sometimes presented of their deciding different ways, and this in cases where their decision is without appeal. Until some means are devised for keeping down the arrears in the Appeal Court by relieving its judges from circuit duties, it is questionable whether it would be desirable wholly to abolish the Divisional Court as a court of final appeal from sessions and other local tribunals. But it is much to be regretted that the hint given by Lord Selborne, in his letter to Lord Coleridge already quoted, should not have been acted on in the new rules, and that divisional courts should continue to be formed for the purpose of hearing motions for new trial, or appeals from orders made by a judge at chambers, seeing that there are two higher courts beyond them to which their decisions may only serve as *passports*. When, on the other hand, the Divisional Court is engaged on hearing appeals from inferior courts, or cases reserved by magistrates in the exercise of their summary jurisdiction, they may still be doing valuable work. In the large majority of cases so heard their decision will be a final one, as being satisfactory to the parties, while their discretionary power of granting or refusing leave for a rehearing before the Court of Appeal may be wisely retained. It is analogous to the power reposed in the Court of Appeal itself of granting or refusing, in certain specified cases, leave to appeal to the House of Lords. The example of the Court of Appeal might also be followed in another respect with advantage. That court, when not engaged on interlocutory business, must consist of not less than three judges, and I ventured to express an opinion some two years ago, which subsequent experience has confirmed, that the members of the divisional courts when engaged on appellate business should not be less than three. Unless these three members should differ among themselves, it is not likely that

leave to appeal would, if discretionary, be granted, whereas a court of two might not feel sufficient confidence in its own conclusion to refuse an appeal in any case where it reversed the decision of the court below. This arrangement, too, would put an end to the disappointment which is now naturally felt whenever the weights in the judicial scale are evenly balanced, and the appeal is thus rendered abortive.

SOCIETIES.

LAW ASSOCIATION.

At the usual monthly meeting of the directors, held at the hall of the Incorporated Law Society, Chancery-lane, on Thursday, the 1st inst., the following being present—viz., Mr. Deeborough (chairman), and Messrs. Doyle, Nisbet, Scadding, Sidney Smith, and A. B. Carpenter (secretary)—the ordinary general business was transacted.

LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

After the election of some new members and nomination of others, the society proceeded to a very interesting and instructive discussion upon the alterations in practice effected by the new Judicature Rules. Mr. Ashton led off the debate, in which Messrs. H. Mossop, Graham, A. Austin, Stanley, Gwynne, Griffith, Napier, Stuart, Smith, Spiers, C. T. Hobbs, Devonshire, and Maloney (visitor) took part. The discussion was continued until a late hour of the evening, and many of the speakers who took part showed that they were well acquainted with the subject with which they dealt, and capable of forming an opinion on the probable working of the new rules. A large house full of members was present, and listened with great attention to the speeches.

UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

The usual weekly meeting of this society was held in the hall of Clement's-inn, Strand; Mr. Ernest E. Keep in the chair. There were present Messrs. Spence, Bull, Eiloart, Moyle, Edmunds, Yates, Munday, Hobbs, Snell, Keep, Kains-Jackson, and Harvey. Mr. Spence moved, "That fox-hunting is a brutalizing sport." There spoke Messrs. Moyle, Yates, Kains-Jackson, Harvey, Eiloart, Munday, Edmunds, and Keep. The mover replied, and on a division being taken, the motion was declared carried by one vote.

LIVERPOOL LAW STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION.

The third meeting of the session took place at the Law Library on Monday evening, the 29th of October, Mr. J. W. Thomson, B.A., solicitor, in the chair. The question for discussion was as follows:—"Does the usual clause in conditions of sale providing for compensation for errors of description apply to an error discovered after conveyance executed, fraud not being imputed?" Mr. F. C. Kelly opened in the affirmative, and Mr. E. Leigh followed in the negative. On the debate being thrown open a very interesting discussion took place, in which Messrs. Baxter, Wright, Rowland, and Appleton supported the affirmative, and Messrs. Bromfield, Gair, Goodwell, and Thompson the negative. Both the openers replied, and, on the question being put to the meeting, it was decided in favour of the negative by a majority of seven. There were thirty members present.

The following are the proposed terms of appointments of official receivers under the Bankruptcy Act for the districts of Leeds, Hull, Bristol, and Swansea and Neath:—For Leeds a salary of £1,000 will be given, with a pension. For Hull £4 for every case in which a receiving order is issued, with a commission of five per cent. on all assets collected, and it is estimated that the remuneration will amount to about £890. For the district of Bristol, Bath, Frome, and Wells £1,000 will be given; and for Swansea and Neath the payment will be by fees and commission on all assets collected, estimated to produce £575 per annum. All candidates must have complied with section 163 of the Act as to the payment of unclaimed dividends in all past cases where they have been trustees; and all applications must be made to the Board of Trade by the 3rd of November.

At the Chester Assizes, Lord Justice Baggallay, having commented on a charge of murder, said the grand jury would observe that there were one or two cases in which charges of fraudulent bankruptcy had been taken up by the Public Prosecutor. In this case of suggested murder, however, there was nobody to take it up and completely and thoroughly investigate it. If they were to have a Public Prosecutor at all, he ought certainly to act in a case where the life of a person was concerned, and where there was no reasonable chance of those who had the prosecution in hand having sufficient means of carrying it out. The case in question was of much more importance to the public than the charges of fraudulent bankruptcy.

LEGAL APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. HENRY ARTHUR HUDSON, solicitor, proctor, and notary, of York, has been appointed by the Archbishop of York Registrar of the Province and Diocese of York, in succession to the late Mr. Egerton Vernon Harcourt. Mr. Hudson had for several years acted as Mr. Harcourt's deputy. He was admitted a solicitor in 1863, and he is also registrar of the archdeaconries of York and Cleveland.

Mr. EDWARD WILLIAM WORLIDGE, solicitor, of Yarmouth, has been elected Vice-Chairman of the Great Yarmouth School Board. Mr. Worlidge is the son of the late Mr. John Worlidge, judge of county courts. He was educated at Jesus College, Cambridge, where he graduated in the second class of the classical tripos in 1872, and he was admitted a solicitor in 1875. He is registrar of the Great Yarmouth County Court, and district registrar under the Judicature Acts.

Mr. GEORGE SHEFFIELD BLAKEWAY, solicitor (of the firm of Fryer & Blakeway), town clerk of the city of Gloucester, has been appointed Clerk to the County Magistrates at Gloucester, on the resignation of his partner, Mr. Kedgwin Hoskins Fryer.

Mr. HERBERT USHER, solicitor, of Market Weighton, has been appointed Clerk to the Market Weighton Burial Board.

Mr. PERCY HOCKIN, solicitor, of Dartmouth, Kingswear, and Brixham, has been appointed Clerk to the Kingswear School Board. Mr. Hockin was admitted a solicitor in 1898. He is deputy town clerk and deputy clerk to the magistrates for the borough of Dartmouth, and clerk to the Dartmouth Urban Sanitary Authority.

Mr. HENRY POTTER, solicitor, of Farnham and Aldershot, has been appointed Clerk to the Frimley School Board. Mr. Potter was admitted a solicitor in 1854.

Mr. LIEWELLYN ADAMS, solicitor, of Ruthin, has been appointed by Mr. Cornwallis West, Lord Lieutenant of Denbighshire, to be Clerk of the Peace for that county, in succession to Mr. Joseph Peers, resigned. Mr. Adams has been for some time deputy clerk of the peace for Denbighshire. He was admitted a solicitor in 1845, and he is also clerk to the Ruthin borough magistrates.

Mr. JAMES FRASER BONHAM, solicitor, of Barnstable and Ilfracombe, has been elected Coroner for the Barnstable District of Devonshire, in succession to Mr. John Henry Toller, deceased. Mr. Bonham was admitted a solicitor in 1856.

Mr. WILLIAM MAREBY, D.C.L., reader on Indian Law in the University of Oxford, has been elected a Fellow of Balliol College.

DISSOLUTIONS OF PARTNERSHIPS.

GEORGE ALFRED COOKE, ROBERT LE BRASSEUR, and TOM EDMUND LIVINGSTONE OAKLEY, solicitors, 12, New-court, Lincoln's-inn (Cooke, Le Brasseur, & Oakley). October 1. So far as regards the said George Alfred Cooke.

MANUEL REES, DANIEL CHARLES EDWARDS, and ROBERT LEY WOOD, solicitors, Llanelly (Rees, Edwards, & Wood). September 29. So far as relates to the said Robert Ley Wood only. The said business will in future be carried on by the said Manuel Rees and Daniel Charles Edwards, under the style or firm of Rees & Edwards. [Gazette, October 30.]

COUNTY COURTS.

WEYMOUTH.

(Before Mr. SERJEANT TINDAL ATKINSON, Judge.)

Thursday, Oct. 18.—*Vallance v. Falle*.

Merchant Shipping Act, 1854—Refusal of master of vessel to give a certificate of discharge to a seaman—Penalty—Right to bring a common law action.

His HONOUR, who had, at the last court, reserved his judgment, said:—This is an action brought by the plaintiff, a seaman, to recover from the defendant, the master of a steam vessel, £25 for alleged damage arising from his refusing to give the plaintiff a certificate of discharge to which he was entitled under the provisions of the 172nd section of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854. That section enacts that, upon the discharge of any seaman, the master shall sign and give him a certificate of his discharge, specifying the period of his service, and the time and place of discharge; and, if he fails to give a discharge, shall incur a penalty not exceeding £10. The fact of the refusal by the defendant to give such discharge in this case is admitted, but it is contended by his advocate, Mr. Howard, that the particulars of the plaintiff's claim show no cause of action, and also that the provisions of the section under which the present claim is framed give this court no jurisdiction to entertain the action, on the ground that the statute which created the duty of giving a discharge, having imposed a penalty for its refusal recoverable before justices, that is the only remedy open to the plaintiff, and that a common law action will not lie. Had the case of *Coch v. Hill* (23 L. J. Q. B. 121) not been questioned, distinguished, and shaken by subsequent decisions, there would not be much difficulty in deciding the action before me at once in favour

of the plaintiff. The case would, in fact, be governed by the rule there laid down by Lord Campbell—namely, "that wherever a statutory duty is created, any person who can show that he has sustained an injury arising from the non-performance of that duty can bring an action for damages against the person on whom the duty is imposed." The rule here expressed in such broad and comprehensive terms was questioned in *Atkinson v. The Newcastle Waterworks Company* (25 W. R. 794, L. R. 2 Ex. D. 44), in which the plaintiff brought an action against the defendants for not keeping their pipes charged with water as required by the Waterworks Act, whereby his premises were burnt down, and the Court of Appeal, reversing the decision of the court below, held that a statute which does not by implication give to persons who may be injured by the breach of the duties thereby imposed any remedy over and above those which it gives in express terms, the person injured must have recourse to the statutory remedy, and cannot maintain an action for damages. Applying the principle of this rule to the facts of the present case, the question arises, is there anything in the language and provisions of the statute which by reasonable construction, expressly or by implication, gives a remedy beyond that to be found in the 172nd section—viz., the penalty of £10—for refusing to give a certificate of discharge? In looking through the provisions of the Act it will be found that the only section which relates to the mode of enforcing penalties and their application is the 524th, which enacts "That any justice imposing any penalty under the Act for which no specific application is provided, may, if he thinks fit, direct the whole or any part thereof to be applied in compensating any person for any wrong or damage which he may have sustained by the act or default in respect of which such penalty is imposed. The statute contains no specific application of the penalty in this case, and it was open to the plaintiff to make a complaint before the justices under the provisions of the section and obtain the compensation therein provided—namely, the whole or such part of the penalty the justices might, on considering the facts, think it right to award. It appears to me that by the use of the term "compensation" the Legislature contemplated that no other remedy than that prescribed in the section was to be given, it being in substance and in form at once simple, efficient, and inexpensive. In this case, after considering the arguments addressed to me and the authorities cited, I am of opinion that the statute in this case having created a liability not existing at common law has given a particular remedy for enforcing it, and that the plaintiff must adopt the remedy given by the statute, and not having done so in this instance a nonsuit must be entered.

The question of costs reserved.

Jolliffe, Crewkerne, for the plaintiff.

R. N. Howard, Weymouth, for the defendant.

COURT PAPERS.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	V. C. BACON.	Mr. Justice KAY.
Monday, Nov.	5 Mr. Lave	Mr. Teesdale	Mr. Jackson
Tuesday	6 Carrington	Farrer	Cobby
Wednesday	7 Lave	Teesdale	Jackson
Thursday	8 Carrington	Farrer	Cobby
Friday	9 Lave	Teesdale	Jackson
Saturday	10 Carrington	Farrer	Cobby
	Mr. Justice CHITTY.	Mr. Justice NORTH.	Mr. Justice PHARMON.
Monday, Nov.	5 Mr. Merivale	Mr. Ward	Mr. Clowes
Tuesday	6 King	Pemberton	Koe
Wednesday	7 Merivale	Ward	Clowes
Thursday	8 King	Pemberton	Koe
Friday	9 Merivale	Ward	Clowes
Saturday	10 King	Pemberton	Koe

MICHAELMAS SITTINGS, 1883.

COURT OF APPEAL.

Appeal Court, II.

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Chancery, and Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divisions (Probate and Divorce), the London Bankruptcy Court, and the County Palatine and Stannaries Courts.

ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Friday, Nov 1	2	App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots.—and apps from ords made on interlocutory mots	Monday.....19 Tues.,.....20	(App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots.—and apps from ords made on interlocutory mots (sep list) & also apps from general list if required.) Bkcy. apps. & also apps from general list if requ. P.d
Saturday .. 2	3	Interlocutory apps contind	Wednes,21	
Monday 3	4	Apps. from the general list.	Thursday ..,22	
Tuesday 4	5	App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots (separate list), & also apps from general list if required	Friday23	
Wednesday .. 5	6	Bkcy apps and also apps from general list if required	Saturday24	Apps from the general list.
Thursday .. 6	7	Apps from the general list.	Sunday25	
Friday	8		Tues.,27	
Saturday	9		Wednesday ..,28	
Monday	10		Thursday	
Tuesday	11		Friday	
Wednesday	12		Saturday	
Thursday	13		Sunday	
Friday	14		Monday	
Saturday	15		Tues.	
Monday	16		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	17		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	18		Friday	
Thursday	19		Saturday	
Friday	20		Sunday	
Saturday	21		Monday	
Monday	22		Tues.	
Tuesday	23		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	24		Thursday ..	
Thursday	25		Friday	
Friday	26		Saturday	
Saturday	27		Sunday	
Monday	28		Monday	
Tuesday	29		Tues.	
Wednesday	30		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	31		Thursday ..	
Friday	1		Friday	
Saturday	2		Saturday	
Monday	3		Sunday	
Tuesday	4		Monday	
Wednesday	5		Tues.	
Thursday	6		Wednesday ..	
Friday	7		Thursday ..	
Saturday	8		Friday	
Monday	9		Saturday	
Tuesday	10		Sunday	
Wednesday	11		Monday	
Thursday	12		Tues.	
Friday	13		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	14		Thursday ..	
Monday	15		Friday	
Tuesday	16		Saturday	
Wednesday	17		Sunday	
Thursday	18		Monday	
Friday	19		Tues.	
Saturday	20		Wednesday ..	
Monday	21		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	22		Friday	
Wednesday	23		Saturday	
Thursday	24		Sunday	
Friday	25		Monday	
Saturday	26		Tues.	
Monday	27		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	28		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	29		Friday	
Thursday	30		Saturday	
Friday	31		Sunday	
Saturday	1		Monday	
Monday	2		Tues.	
Tuesday	3		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	4		Thursday ..	
Thursday	5		Friday	
Friday	6		Saturday	
Saturday	7		Sunday	
Monday	8		Monday	
Tuesday	9		Tues.	
Wednesday	10		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	11		Thursday ..	
Friday	12		Friday	
Saturday	13		Saturday	
Monday	14		Sunday	
Tuesday	15		Monday	
Wednesday	16		Tues.	
Thursday	17		Wednesday ..	
Friday	18		Thursday ..	
Saturday	19		Friday	
Monday	20		Saturday	
Tuesday	21		Sunday	
Wednesday	22		Monday	
Thursday	23		Tues.	
Friday	24		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	25		Thursday ..	
Monday	26		Friday	
Tuesday	27		Saturday	
Wednesday	28		Sunday	
Thursday	29		Monday	
Friday	30		Tues.	
Saturday	31		Wednesday ..	
Monday	1		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	2		Friday	
Wednesday	3		Saturday	
Thursday	4		Sunday	
Friday	5		Monday	
Saturday	6		Tues.	
Monday	7		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	8		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	9		Friday	
Thursday	10		Saturday	
Friday	11		Sunday	
Saturday	12		Monday	
Monday	13		Tues.	
Tuesday	14		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	15		Thursday ..	
Thursday	16		Friday	
Friday	17		Saturday	
Saturday	18		Sunday	
Monday	19		Monday	
Tuesday	20		Tues.	
Wednesday	21		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	22		Thursday ..	
Friday	23		Friday	
Saturday	24		Saturday	
Monday	25		Sunday	
Tuesday	26		Monday	
Wednesday	27		Tues.	
Thursday	28		Wednesday ..	
Friday	29		Thursday ..	
Saturday	30		Friday	
Monday	31		Saturday	
Tuesday	1		Sunday	
Wednesday	2		Monday	
Thursday	3		Tues.	
Friday	4		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	5		Thursday ..	
Monday	6		Friday	
Tuesday	7		Saturday	
Wednesday	8		Sunday	
Thursday	9		Monday	
Friday	10		Tues.	
Saturday	11		Wednesday ..	
Monday	12		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	13		Friday	
Wednesday	14		Saturday	
Thursday	15		Sunday	
Friday	16		Monday	
Saturday	17		Tues.	
Monday	18		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	19		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	20		Friday	
Thursday	21		Saturday	
Friday	22		Sunday	
Saturday	23		Monday	
Monday	24		Tues.	
Tuesday	25		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	26		Thursday ..	
Thursday	27		Friday	
Friday	28		Saturday	
Saturday	29		Sunday	
Monday	30		Monday	
Tuesday	31		Tues.	
Wednesday	1		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	2		Thursday ..	
Friday	3		Friday	
Saturday	4		Saturday	
Monday	5		Sunday	
Tuesday	6		Monday	
Wednesday	7		Tues.	
Thursday	8		Wednesday ..	
Friday	9		Thursday ..	
Saturday	10		Friday	
Monday	11		Saturday	
Tuesday	12		Sunday	
Wednesday	13		Monday	
Thursday	14		Tues.	
Friday	15		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	16		Thursday ..	
Monday	17		Friday	
Tuesday	18		Saturday	
Wednesday	19		Sunday	
Thursday	20		Monday	
Friday	21		Tues.	
Saturday	22		Wednesday ..	
Monday	23		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	24		Friday	
Wednesday	25		Saturday	
Thursday	26		Sunday	
Friday	27		Monday	
Saturday	28		Tues.	
Monday	29		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	30		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	31		Friday	
Thursday	1		Saturday	
Friday	2		Sunday	
Saturday	3		Monday	
Monday	4		Tues.	
Tuesday	5		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	6		Thursday ..	
Thursday	7		Friday	
Friday	8		Saturday	
Saturday	9		Sunday	
Monday	10		Monday	
Tuesday	11		Tues.	
Wednesday	12		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	13		Thursday ..	
Friday	14		Friday	
Saturday	15		Saturday	
Monday	16		Sunday	
Tuesday	17		Monday	
Wednesday	18		Tues.	
Thursday	19		Wednesday ..	
Friday	20		Thursday ..	
Saturday	21		Friday	
Monday	22		Saturday	
Tuesday	23		Sunday	
Wednesday	24		Monday	
Thursday	25		Tues.	
Friday	26		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	27		Thursday ..	
Monday	28		Friday	
Tuesday	29		Saturday	
Wednesday	30		Sunday	
Thursday	31		Monday	
Friday	1		Tues.	
Saturday	2		Wednesday ..	
Monday	3		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	4		Friday	
Wednesday	5		Saturday	
Thursday	6		Sunday	
Friday	7		Monday	
Saturday	8		Tues.	
Monday	9		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	10		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	11		Friday	
Thursday	12		Saturday	
Friday	13		Sunday	
Saturday	14		Monday	
Monday	15		Tues.	
Tuesday	16		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	17		Thursday ..	
Thursday	18		Friday	
Friday	19		Saturday	
Saturday	20		Sunday	
Monday	21		Monday	
Tuesday	22		Tues.	
Wednesday	23		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	24		Thursday ..	
Friday	25		Friday	
Saturday	26		Saturday	
Monday	27		Sunday	
Tuesday	28		Monday	
Wednesday	29		Tues.	
Thursday	30		Wednesday ..	
Friday	31		Thursday ..	
Saturday	1		Friday	
Monday	2		Saturday	
Tuesday	3		Sunday	
Wednesday	4		Monday	
Thursday	5		Tues.	
Friday	6		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	7		Thursday ..	
Monday	8		Friday	
Tuesday	9		Saturday	
Wednesday	10		Sunday	
Thursday	11		Monday	
Friday	12		Tues.	
Saturday	13		Wednesday ..	
Monday	14		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	15		Friday	
Wednesday	16		Saturday	
Thursday	17		Sunday	
Friday	18		Monday	
Saturday	19		Tues.	
Monday	20		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	21		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	22		Friday	
Thursday	23		Saturday	
Friday	24		Sunday	
Saturday	25		Monday	
Monday	26		Tues.	
Tuesday	27		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	28		Thursday ..	
Thursday	29		Friday	
Friday	30		Saturday	
Saturday	31		Sunday	
Monday	1		Monday	
Tuesday	2		Tues.	
Wednesday	3		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	4		Thursday ..	
Friday	5		Friday	
Saturday	6		Saturday	
Monday	7		Sunday	
Tuesday	8		Monday	
Wednesday	9		Tues.	
Thursday	10		Wednesday ..	
Friday	11		Thursday ..	
Saturday	12		Friday	
Monday	13		Saturday	
Tuesday	14		Sunday	
Wednesday	15		Monday	
Thursday	16		Tues.	
Friday	17		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	18		Thursday ..	
Monday	19		Friday	
Tuesday	20		Saturday	
Wednesday	21		Sunday	
Thursday	22		Monday	
Friday	23		Tues.	
Saturday	24		Wednesday ..	
Monday	25		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	26		Friday	
Wednesday	27		Saturday	
Thursday	28		Sunday	
Friday	29		Monday	
Saturday	30		Tues.	
Monday	31		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	1		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	2		Friday	
Thursday	3		Saturday	
Friday	4		Sunday	
Saturday	5		Monday	
Monday	6		Tues.	
Tuesday	7		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	8		Thursday ..	
Thursday	9		Friday	
Friday	10		Saturday	
Saturday	11		Sunday	
Monday	12		Monday	
Tuesday	13		Tues.	
Wednesday	14		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	15		Thursday ..	
Friday	16		Friday	
Saturday	17		Saturday	
Monday	18		Sunday	
Tuesday	19		Monday	
Wednesday	20		Tues.	
Thursday	21		Wednesday ..	
Friday	22		Thursday ..	
Saturday	23		Friday	
Monday	24		Saturday	
Tuesday	25		Sunday	
Wednesday	26		Monday	
Thursday	27		Tues.	
Friday	28		Wednesday ..	
Saturday	29		Thursday ..	
Monday	30		Friday	
Tuesday	31		Saturday	
Wednesday	1		Sunday	
Thursday	2		Monday	
Friday	3		Tues.	
Saturday	4		Wednesday ..	
Monday	5		Thursday ..	
Tuesday	6		Friday	
Wednesday	7		Saturday	
Thursday	8		Sunday	
Friday	9		Monday	
Saturday	10		Tues.	
Monday	11		Wednesday ..	
Tuesday	12		Thursday ..	
Wednesday	13		Friday	
Thursday	14		Saturday	
Friday	15		Sunday	
Saturday	16		Monday	
Monday	17		Tues.	
Tuesday	18		Wednesday ..	
Wednesday	19		Thursday ..	
Thursday	20		Friday	
Friday	21		Saturday	
Saturday	22		Sunday	
Monday	23		Monday	
Tuesday	24		Tues.	
Wednesday	25		Wednesday ..	
Thursday	26		Thursday ..	
Friday	27		Friday	
Saturday	28		Saturday	
Monday	29		Sunday	
Tuesday	30		Monday	
Wednesday	31			

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Queen's Bench Division, and from the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division (Admiralty).

ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Wednesday 19 }
 } memo. — 2 Apps. inter-
 } made on Interlocutory mtn
Thursday.. 20 } and also apps. from general
Friday,... 21 } list if required.
 } Apps. from the general list

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

Chancery Court, I.
V.C. Sir JAMES BACON.

Wednesday 12 } General paper
Thursday 13 }
Friday 14 } Mins. adj. sumps. & gen. pa.
Saturday 15 } Pets. sh. cause & gen. pa.
Monday 17 } In Bankruptcy
Tuesday 18 }
Wednesday 19 } General paper
Thursday 20 }
Friday 21 } Mins. pets., short cause
 } Adj. sumps. & gen. pa.

Further considerations will be taken as

part of the general paper in priority to original causes which have not already appeared in the paper.

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers must be left in court with the judge's officer the day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

Chancery Court, IV.

MR. JUSTICE KAY.

Wednesday...13
Thursday...13...Mts. & gen. pa.
Friday...14...Pets. adj. sums. & gen.
Saturday...15...Sht. causes, & adj. sum.
Monday...17...General Paper (Fur. con.
Tuesday...18...causes without wits)
Wednesday...19
Thursday...20...Mts. & gen. pa.
Friday...21...Pets., adj. sums, & gen. pa.
Any cause intended to be heard as a short
cause must be so marked in the cause

book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be heard, and the necessary papers must be left in court with the judge's officer the day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

Cha noery Court, III.

Mr. JUSTICE CHITTY.

Friday, Nov. 2..Moins.

Friday	7.	Motions and gen. pa.
Saturday	8	{ Pets, shrt. cause, adj. sums (Procedure), & gen. pa.
Monday	10.	Fur cons. & gen pa
Tuesday	11	{ Causes without wits, & adj.
Wednesday	12	{ sums and spec cases
Thur	13	
Fr day	14.	Motions & gen pa
Saturday	15	{ Pets., short cause, adj. sums (procedure), & gen. paper
Monday	17	Fur. cons., doms., & gen. paper

Monday.....	{ paper
Tues.,18	{ Causes without wits, adjd
Wednesday..19	{ amus and spec cases
Thursday...20	
Friday.... 21..	{ Motions & gen pa

Chancery Court, II.

MR. JUSTICE NORTH:

N.B.—During the Autumn Circuit Mr. Justice North will sit for Mr. Justice Pearson, and the business of Mr. Justice North's Court will be taken by Mr. Justice Mathew in the usual order, as follows:—

Thursday ..15 } General paper.
Friday16 }
Saturday ..17 }

COURT
MICHAELMAN
LIST OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEAL

MICHAELMAS SITTINGS. 1883.

LIST OF APPEALS FOR HEARING.
(Set down to Thursday, 25th October, inclusive.)

APPEALS FROM THE CHANCERY DIVISION, THE PROBATE,
DIVORCE, AND ADMIRALTY DIVISION (PROBATE AND
DIVORCE), AND THE COUNTY PALATINE AND STANNARIES
COURTS.

General List.

1882.

In re The Potteries, Shrewsbury and North Wales Ry Co, app of L H Elkington
and ors from Mr Justice Kay Feb 25
Harrison v Leutner app of plt from V C B Nov 17 (security ordered July 18, 1883,
1883.

In re The Columbia Chemical Factory Manure, &c, Co, liend, and Co's Acis (ex
parte R Hewett) app of Liquidator from Kay, J Jan 2
In re The Columbia Chemical Factory Manure, &c, Co, liend, and Co's Acis app
of Liquidator from Kay, J Jan 6

Russell v Watts app of debts other than Nathaniel Duckworth from V C Bacon
Russell v Watts app of Nathaniel Duckworth from V C Bacon Jan 20
In re Will Trusts of Samuel Hoes Showers, decd, and 10 & 11 Viet c 96 app of
petra from Mr Justice Fry Jan 26
Lipkins Executors of Mont C. Lipkins, Green, Haines & Co. app of bill from Mr

Liebig's Extract of Meat Co, Ltd v George Thompson & Co app of pils from Mr Justice Field (To stand over until Liebig v Anderson is decided)

Liebig's Extract of Meat Co, Ltd v Anderson app of pils from Mr Justice Field for Mr Justice Kay Jan 27

Cooper v Metropolitan Board of Works app of debts from V C B Jan 27
 In re The Taurine Co, limd, & Co's Acts app of official liquidator from V C B Jan 30
 Maynard v Marks and ors app of pit from V C B Jan 29
 Maynard v Marks and ors app of debt E T Gourley from V C B Jan 30
 Moore v Bennett app of debt from Mr Justice Field, from Mr Justice Kay Jan 31
 Caledonian Ry Co v Solway Junction Ry Co app of debts from Mr Justice Kay Feb 2
 Carter v White and anr app of pit from Mr Justice Kay Feb 6
 Goldamid v Gt Eastern Ry Co app of debts from V C B Feb 6
 Mackintosh v Chalmers app of pits from V C B Feb 8
 Corbett v Plowden app of pits from Baron Pollock for Mr Justice Pearson Feb 10
 In re T Boddington, dead Boddington v Clairat app of pit from Mr Justice Fry Feb 12
 Penfold v Stromeyer app of debt from V C B Feb 13
 Biggs v Mason app of pit from Mr Justice Fry Feb 14
 In re John Brier, dead Brier v Evison app of debts from Mr Justice Chitty Feb 16
 In re The Milan Tramways Co ld & Co's Acts app of official liquidator from Mr Justice Kay Feb 19
 In re The Quartz Hill Consolidated Gold Mining Co ld & Co's Acts app of Alfred Tucker from V C B Feb 21
 Robinson v Archibald app of debt from Mr Justice Fry Feb 22
 Stott v Milne app of pit from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster Feb 23
 The Northern Counties of England Fire Insurance Co ld v Whipp app of pit from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster Feb 23
 In re The Met Brush Electric Light and Power Co ld & Co's Acts app of B Ollendorff from Mr Justice Chitty Feb 26
 Games v Bonner app of debt from Pearson J Feb 26
 Warren v Le Marchant app of pit from Mr Justice Kay Feb 27
 Knell v Walker app of pit from Baron Pollock for Mr Justice Pearson March 1
 Hart v Hobbs app of pit from Baron Pollock for Mr Justice Pearson March 2
 Squire v Hutchings app of debts from V C B March 2
 In re J H Cameron, dead Nixon v Cameron app of Louisa Cameron from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster March 2
 Gill v Woodfin app of debt from V C B March 3
 France v Clarke app of debt W G Quibampton from Mr Justice Fry Mar 5
 In re The Quartz Hill Consolidated Gold Mining Co ld and Co's Acts (Young's case) app of R Young from Mr Justice Pearson Mar 5 (Security ordered April 3)
 The Auvergne Bituminous Rock and Paving Co ld v Churchward app of debts Foster and anr from Mr Justice Fry Mar 6
 In re The International Financial Society ld and reduced and Co's Acts app of P F Strouberg from Mr Justice Fry Mar 7 (Security ordered Mar 13)
 In re The Phoenix Chemical Works ld and Co's Acts app of C T Gilbert from Mr Justice Chitty Mar 7
 In re The Sumburgh Mining Co ld and Co's Acts app of Wm Oliver from Mr Justice Chitty Mar 10
 Holyland v Lewin app of debt from Mr Justice Chitty Mar 13
 In re Sanderson, dead Wright v Sanderson app of pit from so much of decree of Sir James Hannen as pronounces for probate of codicil Mar 13
 Strickland v Symonds app of pit from Mr Justice Pearson Mar 14
 Woodhouse v Hargreaves app of debt from V C Bacon Mar 21
 Gibbins v Strong app of debt Barbridge from Mr Justice Kay Mar 21
 Bloxam and anr v Faye and ors app of pits from judgment of Sir James Hannen overruling decree to defend and pronouncing against validity of paper writing Mar 25
 In re Thomas Harrold, dead Wilde v Walford app of pits from Mr Justice Fry Mar 25
 McWean v McNaughtan app of pit from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster Mar 25
 In re Chancellor, dead, Chancellor v Brown app of pit from Mr Justice Chitty March 30
 Bayly v Gt Western Ry Co app of pit from Mr Justice Chitty March 30
 In re The Deptford Creek Bridge Co and Thomas Beavan—contract for sale—V & P Act, 1874 app of Deptford Creek Bridge Co from Mr Justice Chitty March 31
 Roots v Snelling app of pits from Mr Baron Pollock for Mr Justice Pearson April 2
 Johns v Graham and anr app of debt J Filides from Mr Justice Kay April 2
 In re John Giles Pitcher and 10 & 11 Viet cap 96 app of J G D Hastie from Mr Justice Chitty April 4
 Kettlewell v Watson app of G Roberts and ors from Mr Justice Fry April 5
 To come on with Padgett's app when reached
 In re The Gold Co of Southern India, limd, and Co's Acts app of E F K Fortescue from Mr Justice Kay April 5
 Schofield v Spooner app of T Davis and anr from Baron Pollock for Mr Justice Pearson April 6
 Dickinson v Couran app of debt C E Adams from V C Bacon April 6
 Peacock v Sinclair app of debt from Mr Justice Chitty April 7
 In re The Butler's Wharf Co, limd, and Co's Acts, Anderson v Butler's Wharf Co, limd app of the liquidator from V C Hall April 13
 Thomas v Williams app of pits from V C Bacon April 13
 (To be continued.)

FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH AND PROBATE, DIVORCE, AND ADMIRALTY (ADMIRALTY) DIVISIONS.

For Hearing.

1882.

Salberg, Bros & Co v Moore app of pits from the L C J and L J Brett (sitting as a Divisional Court) setting aside verdict obtained in Shoreditch County Court Aug 15
 Lion Mutual Marine Insurance Assn v Tucker app of pits from judgment of Justices Field and Williams on sp e Dec 6

1883.

The Cumberland Co-operative Building Society v The Furness Ry Co app of debts from judgment of Justices Field and Williams on special case Jan 9

Milnes v Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of the Borough of Huddersfield app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew on fur con after trial at Leeds Jan 12
 Hope v Russell and ors app of pit from Justices Denman and North affirming dismissal before pleading of vexatious action with costs Jan 17
 The Municipal Elections Acts, 1872 and 1875 Henry and ors, petars v Armitage, respnt app of petars from order of Justices Field and Williams dismissing petars to declare election for Town Council void Jan 20
 Castle v The Ramage Improvement Commissioners app of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Denman and Baron Pollock on special case agreed upon at trial Jan 23
 Murray, Trustee, &c, v Waring and ors, Trading, &c app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Grove at trial in Middlesex Jan 25
 Millford and ors v Clode app of debt from judgment of Lord Justice Raggally at trial at Exeter Jan 30
 Steam Tug "The United Service" Isaac Leggett Cole v The Great Yarmouth Steam Tug Co, limd, Owners of "The United Service" app of pit from judgment of Sir E J Phillimore Feb 1 (without Answer)
 Oxford Guardians v Evans and anr (Representatives of the Colleges) app of debts from order of Justices Field and Williams on special case for mandamus directing levy of rate on Colleges for Election Petition Costs Feb 2
 May v Jacobs app of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew at trial at Lawes Feb 6
 Peckett and anr v Osbourne, Graham, & Co app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Day at trial at Durham with special jury Feb 7
 Stock v Ingalls app from judgment of Mr Justice Field at trial at Westminster with special jury Feb 27
 Mathewman v Turner app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Day at trial at Leeds March 1
 In re Benjamin Hope, a Solicitor app of Charles Clode from judgment of Justice as Manisty and Mathew discharging rule to strike off rolls with costs March 8
 Ocean Steam Ship Co v Anderson, Triton, & Co app of pits from Justices Grove, Lopes, and Mathew setting aside judgment at trial and giving judgment to debt—Action tried by Mr Justice Cope in London March 13
 Drake & Co v Jardin & Co app of debts from Justices Grove, Lopes and Mathew setting aside non-suit at trial and directing judgment for pit on claim and counter-claim March 14
 The Silver Hill Mining Co v Bridge app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Grove on claim and counter-claim at trial at Bristol March 14
 Stott v Fairlamb and ors (trustees of Fairlamb's Estate) app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Denman after trial at Leeds March 19
 Burdick and ors v Sewall app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Field after trial in London March 20
 Trower, Clerk v Penk, Bart app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Lopes at trial March 23
 Bligh v Simmons app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Manisty at trial in Middlesex March 30
 Robinson v Trevor and ors app of debts from Justices Mathew and Cave dismissing app from county court March 30
 In re E F Hardwick, a solicitor app of Mr Hardwick from judgment of Justices Cave and Day, striking applicant off the rolls March 31
 The Mayor, &c, of Portsmouth (acting as Urban Sanitary Authority for the Borough) v Smith and ors app of debts from judgment of Lord Justice Lindley after trial March 31
 Scarlett v Hanson and anr app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Manisty at trial March 31
 Cooper v Zeffertt app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex April 2
 Svendsen and ors, Owners of the Olaf Trygvason, v Wallace Bros app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Lopes after trial in London April 3
 Burton and Co v English & Co app of pit from judgment of Justices Cave and Day on special case April 3
 The Queen v Metropolitan Ry Co app of debts from order of Baron Pollock and Huddleston for mandamus to erect cable telegraph wires April 3
 Johnstone v Pillans and Co, limd app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Field at trial in Middlesex April 10
 The Mayor, &c, of the Borough of Salford v Clowes app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Watkin Williams after trial at Manchester April 11
 Hancock v Hale app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Cave at trial in Middlesex April 11
 Rees v Quenarda Ains app of debt from judgment of Master of the Rolls at trial in Glamorgan April 13
 Whalley v The Lancashire and Yorkshire Ry Co app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Day at trial in Lancashire April 13
 The Leeds and County Bank, limd, v Walker app of debt from Mr Justice Denman after trial at Leeds April 13
 The Tredgar Iron and Coal Co, limd, v Gialgud app of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Field after trial in Middlesex April 16
 The Widnes Metal Co v The Elliott's Metal Co, limd app of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Kay at trial without a jury at Liverpool April 19
 Hawley v Lee app of debt from Mr Justice Kay at trial at Liverpool April 25
 Wagstaff v Lord Clinton and anr app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Field at trial in Middlesex April 26
 Action remitted to County Court, Crowther v Thorley app of pit from judgment of Justices Grove and A L Smith, reversing judgment of Huddersfield County Court for pit May 1
 The Sea Insurance Co, limd, v Hadden and anr app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Day after trial at Liverpool May 1
 The Warrington Waterworks Co v Longshaw app of pits from judgment of Mr Justice Field on app from county ct May 3
 On English Information and Answers, Attorney-General v Hubbard and anr app of debts from decree of Baron Pollock and Huddleston and Mr Justice North May 4
 Gibbs and ors v Gt Western Railway Co app of pits from judgment of Justices Field and Mathew on app from County Court May 8 (security ordered, May 20)
 Hutchinson v Woodhouse (dead, &c) app of pit from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew allowing debt demurrer to whole claim May 9
 The London and North-Western Ry Co v Ivons app of debt from judgment of Justices Watkin Williams and Mathew on special case May 9
 The Queen on the Prosecution of the Southborough Burial Board v The Overseers of the Parish of Tambridge app of prosecutors from judgment of Justices Field and Mathew on special case refusing mandamus May 9
 (To be continued.)

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

MICHAELMAS SITTINGS, 1883.

Causes for trial or hearing.

(Set down to Thursday, October 26th, inclusive.)

N.B.—A Transfer of Fifty-three Causes from the Chancery Division to the Queen's Bench Division, dated October 1st, has been made as follows:—

Five from Vice-Chancellor BACON;

Nine from Mr. Justice KAY;

Fourteen from Mr. Justice CHITTY;

Twelve from Mr. Justice PEARSON;

Thirteen from Mr. Justice NORTH.

The several Transferred Causes will be found inserted according to date of setting down in the List of Queen's Bench Actions without Juries.

N.B.—During the absence of Mr. Justice PEARSON on the Autumn Circuit the Business of his Lordship's Court will be taken by Mr. Justice NORTH.

N.B.—During the Autumn Circuit the Business of Mr. Justice NORTH's Court will be taken by Mr. Justice MATHEW.

Before Vice-Chancellor BACON.
Causes for Trial (with witnesses and without witnesses).

Pile v Lewis act wits

Pile v Willis act wits

In re Leman, Hewarson v Leman act

(Jan 11)

Drayfus v Peruvian Guano Co act

(Dec 4)

Peruvian Guano Co v Bockwoldt act

(Dec 4)

Lemaître v Kidston act wits

Spomer v Boyce act wits

Transferred from Mr. Justice Fry, and

Mr. Justice Chitty, by order dated

February 22nd, 1883.

Satcliffe v Trembling act & m f j

Prince v Prince act wits

Smith v Tennant act & m f j

In re M H Anstie, Chetwynd v Morgan

act & m f j

London Financial Assocn v Kalk act,

wits (Nov 15)

Hoare v Stephens m f j wits

Scrutton v Carter act wits

Webb v Smith and Goldsmith act wits

In re J Harris, Harris v Harris act wits

Carancho v Goldschmidt act

Winder v Ltd Cope & Co act wits

Woodruff v Green act wits

Watson v Cornell m f j

In re M Palmer, Skipper v Skipper act

& m f j wits

Stamford & Bkg Co v Graves act wits

Senior v Whitley m f j

Lord Bessford v Fletcher act & m f j

Haywood v Malleson act wits

Manchester Val de Travers Co v Slagg

act wits

Huggins v Hamlyn act wits

Mercantile v Reynolds act wits

Morris v Baker act wits

In re W Robinson, Thompson v Robin-

son act wits

Fane v Dalton action wits

Wallis v Jackson m f j

In re Weare, Ikin v Mackenzie act

Lampough v Sykes act wits

De Manin v Burton act wits

Helps v Jukes act wits

Downs v Downs act

Huggins v Lindsay act wits

Natl Provincial Bank of England v

Litigo & m f j wits

Arnold v Arnold act

Set down since Transfer.

Barrow v Sturup act

In re R Mohr, Warner v Mohr act m f j

Matthews v Matthews act

Brown v Black act wits

In re M Morrison, Jeffot v Dabson act

Wingfield v King-Church act wits

In re T O Lomax, Whitehead v Lomax

act

Phillips v Phillips m f j

Tebb v Lawes act wits

Whitley v Prudential Assurance Co,

ltd act wits

Blomfield v Blomfield act wits

In re Cottman Kelland v Gilbert act

wits

Balcombe v Sawell act

Wood v Silcock act

London Metal Co v Oakley act wits

London Metal Co v Oliver act wits

London Metal Co v Norman act wits

Matthews v Wilson m f j

Turner v Smalpage act

In re Booth, Bradford & Bank v

Booth act

Bougiavanni v La Société Générale act

wits

Carr v French & Monks m f j

In re Adams, Greenwood v Adams act

In re Mitford, Burgess v Mitford act

wits

Smith v Silicate Paint Co act wits

Hawes v Hawes act & m f j wits

Stuckey's Bank v Southcombe act wits

In re J Highmore act wits

Armhardt v Allan act wits

Peat v Barnes act wits

Epsom v Phillips, act wits

In re The Asphaltic Wood Pavement

Co adj sums

In re T Jarratt Jarratt v Jarratt act

wits

Readall v Ayre adj sums pt hd

Upton v Smith act wits

In re T Carrington Carrington v Car-

ington act wits

In re C. E. Carrington Carrington v

Carrington act wits

Perkins v Farmer act wits

Scrutton v Scrutton act wits

In re E P Toulmin Dwyer v. Bond

m f j

In re J Wilson Elliott v Barrett act

Meakin v Walsh act wits

Green v Balls act & counter claim

Hunter v Barnes act

Blake v Payne f c

Probert v Windus act wits

Gudgeon v Hall act

Jarratt v Jarratt act wits

Jarratt v Jarratt act wits

In re Gluckstein Dexter v. Gluckstein

m f j (e)

In re Todd Davies v Davies f c

In re S A Collins Lloyd v Head f c & s

In re The Danish Freehold Land, & Co

adj sums

Dickinson v Courton adj sums

Hipgrave v Case act

In re R Waterhouse Clarke v Shilton

act

In re W Yeatman Mulberry v Ward

Smith v Mulberry act wits

Mackintosh v Chalmers f c

Crisp v City of London Publishing Co

act

In re Richmond Falder v Moorhouse

f c

Gwatkin v Westover act

Pennethorne v Henderson act & m f j

Oldaker v Ramball f c

Before Mr. Justice KAY.

Causes for Trial (with witnesses).

Keddie v Payne act

Miniberson v Furnace act

Shoolbred v Edwards act

Nicol v Beaumont act

Bliss v Rickette act

Leverett v Stearn act

In re Byrom, Moss v Byrom act

Lancaster Banking Co v Brodgen act

Seagrave v Kelly act

Hoynes v Kelly act

Hobbs v Lacey act

In re Croucher, Croucher v Croucher

act

Watson v Christie act

Bullock v Richards act and m f j

Stibbard v Black act

Isgrill v Powell act

Wear v Johnson act

King v Lucas act

Martin v Collyer act

Cosbey v Watson act

In re Stainer Stainer v Joynt act

Nickinson v Meredith act

Young v Ker act

Scholes v Webb act

Blackman v Blackman act

New Centrifugal Creamer Co v Hald &

Co act

In re Marsden Gibbs v Layland act

Arnold v Hughes act & m f j

Gane v Myers act

Edwards v McClure act

Hill v Renardson act

Greening v Ince act

Cull v Gudgeon act

Langford v Brown act

Nanney v Morgan act

Hamilton v Hambleton act

Blair v Stock act

Surrey Commercial Dock Co v Stotes-

bury act

Morris v Beal act

Brady v Dove Dove v Brady act

In re G E Boyes Boyes v Carritt act

Roberts v Oppenheim act

Williams v Johnston act

Vann Murhina Glass Co. lmd v Hill

act

Priestly v Jagger act

Blood v Mulville act

Harwood v Blackwell Blackwell v

Harwood act

Lewis v Aberdare & Co act & m f j

Westfield v Burnham act

Burnham v Wilkins act

Stigand v Stigand act

Wardnaby v Marriott act

Baldwin v Hargreaves act

Saow v Whitehead act

Russell v Vargnes act

The Roppeleweiller Stassenbahn

Gesellschaft v Mulhausen Trans Co

ltd act

Apar v Finsular & Co act

Ellis v Rogers act

Usher v Hamel Hamel v Usher act

Maux v Lord Twoedmouth

Griffith v Equitable Reversionary In-

terest Socy act

Nadin v Bassett act

Parrott v Hamp act

Re Whitworth, Whitworth v Whitworth

act

Ansell v Wearing act

Cordwin v Smith act

Fellows v Jefferies

Fronton, & Gold Co v Smith act

Warner v Barnett act

Whitehaven & Authority v Smith act

Mayor of Plymouth v Martin act

Hearn v Glasville act

Barber v Harvey act

Pardew v Smith act

Briggs v Briggs act

Kemble v Bedwell act & m for j

Benfield v Armeson act

James v Young act

Caspar v Goggs act

Johnston v Pate act

Crauford v Royal Exchange Assoc

Corporation act

Stephens v Baldwin act

Lovejoy v Cooke act

Fraser v Province of Breasia Co act

Burnell v Washbrough act

Mortimer v Wilson, Wilson v Mortimer

act

In re A & J Williams, Williams v

Williams act

Blackett v Blackett act

Abrayron Mutual Ship Insurance

Society v Jones act

Heinrichs v Westinghouse act

Mayer & Co of New Windsor v Stovell

act

In re J Griffiths, Pearson v Griffiths act

Ferguson v Walker act

Hosper v Sewell act

In re J Roebuck, Scholes v Whitley

act

Breton v Richardson Ellison & Co act

Follard v Taylor act

Briehach v Weaver act

Causes for Trial without witnesses

Bacon v Camphausen act

In re Franco, Herbert v Tait m f j

Lloyd v Thomas act

In re Luddy, Peard v Morton act

In re Smalley, Smalley v Smalley act

Bond v English & Scotland Bldg Socy

act

Faulkner v Batterwells act

Holland v Reeve act

Bird v Tennant m f j

Walker v Liberty act

In re James Boyell v Bradshaw act

Craddock v Mansell act

Miles v Tudway sp c

Hearn v Glasville quest of law

In re Heston's Trade Mark, &c
In re Fitzroy Bessemer Steel, &c Co

Before Mr. Justice CHITTY.

Cause for Trial (with witnesses).
Clayton v Day act

Digby v Evans act
Averough v Unwin act & sums In re
Unwin's Trade-Mark (re-transferred
from V C Bacon)

Manchester and Salford Bank Ltd v
Flitcroft act m f j

In re Pritchard & Dodd's application &
Messrs W. Waller & Co's opposition
& Trade Mark Acts adj sums with
wits

Nokes v Rymer act
Berrington v Lloyd act

Jones v Robinson act
In re J B Sadler, dead Skipper v Shand
act

Cropper v Smith 2 motions to commit
for breaches of order dated March 21,
1883. Cropper v Smith motions to continue
interim injunction with wits by
order

In re The Provincial Brush Electric Light
and Power Co (ex pte Dick) motions for
rectification of shareholders' register
(to come on with action of Dick v
The Provincial, &c, Co when set
down) by order

In re E A C Pole and ors, infants adj
sums with wits by order
Digby v Evans act (transferred from
Q B Division, by order)

In re J Edgcombe Nicholson v Shields
act

Ogie v Gaudet act
Mosses & Co v Boehm act and sums
(In re Boehm's Trade Mark) by
order

Atwood v Savin act
Sim v Newton act

Berron v Latimer act (transferred from
Q B Div)

In re Brown-Hearn, dead Hearn v
Hearn act

Jackson v Brown act
Dickinson v Dickinson act

In re A M Blake, dead Faulconer v
Mackenzie adj sums with wits, by
order

Preese v Amys act
Allender v Allender act

Saunders v Stansway act
Smallpage v Mawson act

Mocatta v The Lake Valley of Switzer-
land Ry Co, Ltd act

The London Agency, Ltd, v The Mil-
ford Haven Ry and Estate Co, Ltd
act

The Earl of Lonsdale, dead West
London Commercial Bank, Ltd, v
Lowther act transferred from V C
Bacon Rt Hon James Lowther v
Lord Chas Ker act

Adrian v Hillhouse act
Craig v Trimin act

Holland v Watney act
Bonskell v Barnett act

Ashley v West act
Baker v Carr act

Now v Langham act
Harvey v Lacey act

Cooke v Kelghley act
Hunt v Mewburn act

In re W K Bole, dead, Thompson v
Stephenson act

Dunn v Dunn Nash v Dunn act &
counter-claim

Broad v Cook act
In re James Schofield, dead, Whitaker
Radcliff v Schofield act & counter-
claim

Hunt v Hunt act
The York City & County Bank v The
Yorkshire Banking Co Ltd act

Betteridge v Thorn act
Boswily v Taylor act

Further Considerations.

White v James f c
Orr v Norris sub f c

In re Trebaine, dead, Cooper v Trebaine
f c
Swindale v Lowrie f c (short)

In re J Ellis, dead, Carter v Ellis f c
(short)
In re T Cousins, dead, Halse v Cousins
f c

In re Barker, dead, Barker v Barker
f c

In re W Ryder, dead, Sharman v Grove
f c (short)

In re A M Cameron, dead, Milne v Ellis
f c adjourned from chambers

Underhay v Smith fur con and motion
to set aside official referee's report

In re H Nelson, dead, Haig-Smille v.
Nelson f c

Brown v Gellatly f c
Foxton v Oram f c

Dickin v Dickin f c
In re M John, dead, Malone v Malone f c

In re J N Craven, dead, Laycock v
Craven f c

In re W Bruges, dead, Chamberlain v
Plummer f c

In re Sach, dead, Sach v Sach f c
In re Dance, dead, Woodroffe v Dance
f c

Baxter v Thompson f c
In re Boucher, dead, Hassel v Ray f c

Demurrer.

Lybbe v Hart demr of dft to claim

Non-witness Causes, Adjourned Sum-
monses and Special Causes.

In re Queenborough Chemical Society
(Bagehole's case) adj sums

In re Wrey's Estate, Sargeant v Smith
Weld v Weld Weld v Knight adj
sums

In re Florence Land & Co (Nicol's case)
adj sums

In re Briton Ferry and Swansea Steam
Tramways Co (Clayton's claim) adj
sums

Wigfield v Brown (ex pte Willmer) m
to discharge receiver &c (to come on
with non-wits acts, by order of Dec 17)

Atlantic Mutual Insurance Co v Huth
adj sums

Midland Land & Investment Corp'n Ltd
v Viset Sudelay & ors act

In re Munch's Trade Mark adj
sums

In re Southport, &c Banking Co (ex pte
Bath) adj sums

In re Evans, dead, Becker v Hardist y
adj sums

In re La Conception Gold Mining Co Ltd
adj sums

In re Jones' Trade Mark, adj sums

Ormes v Bateman adj sums

In re Dennett, dead Simkins v Dennett
s c & m f j

Wollaston v Wollaston s c

In re Chas Denham & Co (2) adj sums

In re Clay's Estate Van Sandau v
Tindale adj sums

In re Duckworth & Chase's Contract &
V & P Act 1874 adj sums

In re Robinson's Estate Wakefield &c
Bank v Robinson adj sums

In re Marab, dead Gowan v Gray s c

Antrobus v Trotter s c

In re Edmonds, dead Sharland v
Wrench adj sums

In re Colonial Trusts Corp'n adj sums

In re The Florence Land and Public
Works Co Ltd (claim of Anglo-Italian
Bank) adj sums

Kelsall v Caddick adj sums

In re Young and ors adj sums

In re Folliott, dead Mears v Walker s c

In re B W Ground, dead Ground v
Ground act

Turnour v Turnour m f j

In re Gwa Avon Estate and Water
Works Co (expte Shaw) adj sums

Balmer v Balmer m f j

In re T Pearson dead Pearson v Pearson
adj summons

Cloze v Carr act

In re The London Fish Market and
National Fishery Co Ltd (2) adj sums

Brace v Attorney-Gen act

Warwick v Knight act

Clifton v Knight act

Mitchell v Jones adj sums

Ward v Eyre (Pds sums) a j sums

Ward v Eyre (Dfts sums) adj sums

Trefry v Trefry act

In re May's Estate Whitechurch v
Bailey adj sums

In re Lambert Gray & Co (Lyonnais
case) adj sums

In re the Same Co Lefebvre case adj sums

In re the Same Co Pescatore's case adj
sums

Levetus v Newton (ex-Plaintiff) adj sums
review taxn

In re George Perkin's Estate adj sums

In re The Swiss Unsweetened Milk Co
adj sums

Morton v Hallet act

Wootton v Strudwick m f j

Price v The Bala and Festiniog Ry Co
act

Gething v Keighley adj sums

In re John Chappell, dead Fozard v
Crowther act

Nichols v Jeuby act

In re The Wearmouth Crown Glass Co
Ltd adj sums

Wastoneys v Hunter m f j

Sheldon v Andrews adj sums

Ground v Ground m f j

In re Hannah Ruggles, dead Weaver
v Bateman act

Paget v Claggett act

Messum v Brown s c

Broadbent v Holdsworth s c

In re Florence Land and Public Co Ltd
(Tufnell & Pensonby's case) adj sums

Parish of Sutton to Church and V & P
Act adj sums

In re Greaves dead Bray v Topfield
adj sums

In re J P Litchfield dead, Wallis v
Litchfield act

In re The Great Britain Fire Insce Co
adj sums

In re G Whitfield's Estate, Myers v
Whitfield adj sums

Flit v Mason m f j

Altholme v Moore m f j

In re F. E. Smith & ors adj sums

In re The Wearmouth Crown Glass Co
National, &c (Bank's claim) adj
sums

Cockle v Cockle act

In re E. W. Anderson's Trade-Mark
adj sums

In re Clay, dead Clay v Clay sp c

Jones v Robinson act

In re Hoskison's Estate Holmes v
Hoskison adj sums

In re Milford Haven Ry and Estate Co,
Ltd (Week's case) adj sums

In re Wellbeloved's Estate Rymill v
The Grosvenor Bank adj sums

Cotton v Keayon sp c & m f j

Cotton v Cotton m f j

Dugdale v Burkinshaw act

Morgan v Wallingford act

In re North, dec, Sawden v Faulconer
act

De Thoren v De Thoren m f j

In re C Harper, dead, Mills, Harrington,
&c, Co v Harper act

In re E M Johnston, dead, Cockerell v
Earl of Essex s c & m f j

Stms v France act

Kelly v Stevens act

Palmer v Garfit s c

In re T Aston, dead, Stokes v Aston act

In re H. Niblett, dead, Langlois v
Robertson act

Foster v Keat s c & m f j

Norman, Oakley & Co v Beauchamp
act

Goddard v Knight act

In re D H Brown, a Solicitor (to review
taxation) adj sums

Greer v Young (to review taxation) adj
sums

In re Ernest Lloyd (expte E Lloyd to
review taxation) adj sums

In re Ernest Lloyd (expte G E Hunt—
cost of taxation) adj sums

Hall v Smith act

Jervis v Doty act

Syer v Ashby act

In re The German Date Co Ltd adj
sums

Morley v The Central Permt Benefit
Building Society adj sums

In re Geo Kerry's Estate Ling v Gower
adj sums

In re The Northern Counties of Eng-
land Fire Insurance Co Ltd (Drotre)
adj sums

Liebig's Extract of Meat Co Ltd v.
Anderson adj sums to rrv taxn

Hughes v Faulkner act

Mowat v The Castle Steel and Iron
Works Co Ltd adj sums

Quarrell v Hill act

Smith v Harris proo sums

In re The Thames and Channel Steam
Ship Co (Yeoman's case) adj sums

In re Shannon to Green's Contract V
& P Act adj sums

Steedman v Steedman act

Moorey v Varley proo sums

Finn v Adamson s c

Sidebotham v Sidebotham act

Yates v Yates adj sums

Plunkett v Martin act and contr-elm

In re Mid Cannock Colliery Co Ltd
adj sums

In re Jno Bagnall & Sons Ltd adj
sums

In re A Salmon adj sums

In re A C Castle (for reference to taxn)
adj sums

Barlow v Gee a & m f j (short)

Brett v Sugar act

In re W H Lewis, dead, Mills v Hall
m f j (short)

Cole v Beaseley m f j

Dauvillier v Myers (to review taxn)
adj sums

In re Addleshaw & Burton adj sums

In re W J Pearce, dead, Pearce v
Gandell m f j

Moore v Todd m f j (short)

Parry v Fraser act

In re J Wood, dead, Adams v Eldridge
s c

In re Hambro's Settled Estates adj
sums

In re D Blythe, dead, Blyth v Thomson
act (short)

Anglo-American Brush Electric Light
Co v Lane-Fox act

Cooper v Brown act

Lancry v Belyse m f j (short)

Elkins v Capital Guarantee Society act

Blott v Clark act

In re W Heathcott, dead, Sturgess v
Avist s c

Partington v Mulleney act

Kennedy v Hatley s c

In re W Mabon, dead, Slater v Mabon
act

Alfree v Athill act

In re Hopkins, dead, Hopkins v Chilton
adj sums

In re Jacobs, dead, Longmore v Jacobs
act

In re Blanchard, dead, Ash v Popple
act

In re Brown, dead, Brown v Warnley
act

Wills v Eyton m f j

In re Sharp, dead, Sharp v Sharp m f j
short

Before Mr. Justice NORTH.

Cause for Trial (with witnesses).
Benham v Irvine act

Plumtree v Blaxland act

Transferred from Mr. Justice Chitty,
by order dated Nov. 17, 1882.

Goodman v Batty act (not before June
20)

In re A H Corfield Bruce v Davison act

In re Corfield Briscoe v Davison act

Hall v Bovey act

Transferred from Mr. Justice Chitty
by order dated Feb. 22, 1883.

Barlow v Daw act

Muggeridge v Vivian act & m f j

Summer v Williams act

Taylor v Hoare act

Ward v Sharp act

Raimond v Great Western Ry Co act

Sugg & Co Ltd v Bray & Co act

Porter v The London & N W Ry Co
act

Bowser v Sharp act

Attorney-Gen v Dean & Canons of Man-
chester act

Booth v Sharp act

Davies-Cooke v Fowler act wits

Robertson v Poland act

Lewis Marthyr Navigation Co. v Goh-
ling act

Gething v Lewis Marthyr Naviga Co
Ltd act

Salwyn v Jones act wits

Cooper v Bullock act wits

Anley v Leah act wits

Raid v The London and Staffordshire
Fire Insurance Co Ltd act wits

Wilkins v Mayor, &c, of Birmingham
act wits

Perry v Jacob act wits

Merl Gauder v Llanelli Board of Health
act wits

The Callis-Bis Gold Mining Co Ltd v
Downes act wits

Morrison v Wade-Grey act wits

Edwards v Edwards act wits

De Baralhy v Cronaigh act wits

No

Tiddema

Tidden

Macdonald

The Lan

Tiddeman v Alexander Alexander v
Tiddeman act wits
Macdonald v Underwood act wits
The Lands Securities Co lmd v Bank-
combe act wits
Wright v Other act wits
Capper v Blatchley act wits
Stevens v Biller act wits
Hartmont v Heron act wits
Goodhart v Hyett act wits
Adams v Malcolm act wits
Dunn v Hood act wits
Lane v Rogers act wits
Farrer v Lacy, Hartland & Co act
wits
Sanders v Knight act wits
Sedler v Palmer act wits
Hammond v Hay act wits
Alia v Marins act wits
Winlau v Garth act wits
Delbonille v Lamb act wits
Prince v Dodds act wits
Colman v Colman act wits
Pestance v Browns act wits
In re Deer, dead Deer v Orgill act
wits
National Provi Bank of England v
Hammerley act wits
Harris v Sykes act wits
Smith v Binney act wits
Goupil v Gallet act wits
Warner v Warner act wits
In re Taylor, dead Nichols v Taylor
act wits
Power v London Wharfing & Ware-
housing Co act wits
Quiller v Tod-Heath act wits
Waddell v Heritage act wits
Bent & Buckley v Hulme act wits
Morris v De la Mouta De la Mouta v
Morris act wits
Cowsey v Jones act wits
Mellish v Yates act wits
Vaughan v Firth act wits
In re Henry Stokes, dead Stokes v
Read act wits
Swindell v Birmingham Syndicate lmd
act wits
Byng v Byng act wits
St Paul, Bart v Rose act wits
Lang v Rankin act wits
Windle v Ash act wits
Marlow v Upton act wits
Brooks v Middleton act wits
Matthews v O'Dowd act wits
Johnson v Massey act wits

Before Mr. Justice PRABSON.
Causes with Witnesses retained for Trial
or Hearing only pursuant to Order
dated April 26, 1883.
Hamilton v University Life Assce Co lmd
act
Blyth v Guinness, Mahon & Co act
Goldschmidt v Oddy act
Bellmore v Watson act

Transferred from Mr. Justice Fry.
Causes for Trial (with witnesses).
In re The Phosphate Manure Co lmd,
&c motion by order
Cobbett v Cox act
Young v Winter act
Lyon v Harrison act & m for j
Liddell v Newall act
Prior v Betch act
Edwards v Prior act
Davies v Turner act
Chapman v Clarke act
Attorney-General v Lyne act
Edwards v Cory act
Barber v Blalberg act phd
Local Board &c of Witham v Oliver act
Ballard v Tomlinson act
Hawley v Carmel act
Ager v Blacklock act
West London Dairy Socy v Abbott act
In re Hunt Robey v Hunt act
In re Harrison Harrison v Parry act
Windle v Crawshaw act
Same v Same act & m f j
In re Cape Breton Co lmd & Co's Acts
act summs
Maciare v Slagg act
Jeffries v Jeffries act
Tudor v Tudor act
Holland v Sawbridge act
Bell v Brown act
Thompson v Mayor &c of Sunderland act
City Bank v Sovereign Life Assce Co act
Ord v Combs act
Martin v Stratford act
Thomas v Spratly act

Barrett v Roberts act
Firminger v Bruff act
Adams v Glynn act
In re Monkman Lyne v Monkman act
Carrington v Black act
In re J Mitchell Mitchell v Fenton
act
Voght v Stocks & Co act
Beckett v Gill act
Wheeler v Sharland act
Wilcox v Same act
In re Pearson, Oxley v Searth act
In re Owen, Lloyd v Owen act m f j
Barton v Thompson act
Hills v Thompson act
Jones v Jones act
Baker v London Contract Co act
Elliot v Sharp act
Woolwich Building Society v Carr act
Dawson v Dawson act
Pulbrook v Bailey act
In re S D Hearn, West of Engl &c Bk
v Cock act
Heselwood v Webster Webster v
Heselwood act
Doyle v City of Glasgow Life Assce Co
act
Bd of Works for St Giles's Dist v The
Met Brush Electric Light Co act
Parish v Poole act
Snelling v Pulling act
Ackers v Ackers act
Mayor, &c, of Kingston-upon-Hull v
Morton act
Miller v Mawson act
Hart v Cottee act
In re Matthews Hilder v Powell act
Wilkins v Jourdain act
Flaming v Crouch act
Hardaker v Moorhouse m f j
Stobbs v Kelsey act
Randall v Solicitor for Treasury act
Sanders v Jones act
Edgington v Fitzmaurice act
In re Davies Jones v Jones act
Morris v Powis act
In re Mappin Mappin v Vinace adj
summs
Robertson v Sharpe act
Robinson v Miles Milne v Robinson
act & c v c
Dix v Coventry act
In re Gilbert Webster v Gilbert act
In re W Anwyll Owen v Powell act
Clark v Saunders act
Gilling v Gilling act
MacKreth v Oddy act
In re Dawkins Ingram v Chase act
Litchfield v Gater act
Lumsden v Young & ors act
Craddock v Rogers act
Williams v Sumner act
In re Newington Hughes v Newington
adj summs
In re Welbourn Hunter v Barton act
Bellis v Johnson act
Salisbury v Wickham act
Gent v Met Brush Electric Light, &c,
Co act
Attfield v Rowe Peck v Attfield act
Field v Ford act
Jobbins v Sadding act
Mewburn v Vacant act
Standing v Bowing act & summs
Cowgill v Rawson act

Causes for Trial
(without witnesses).
And Further Considerations.
In re Hal Hall v Hall demr
Gilmore v Gilmore demr
Gilmore v Gilmore demr
Wood v Wood demr
Price v Torrens act
Smith v Meux act
In re Barton Barton v Barton m f j
In re Lydney & Wigpool Ry v Bird
act
In re Seymour Seymour v Hall act
In re Hugall Cullie v Huggins act
Keay v Bulton m f j
Ward v Ward splc
Arnold v Allen action
In re J H Kelsall Kelsall v London
splc
In re Mocatta Mocatta v Mocatta splc
In re W T Williams Pilkington v
Franklin act
Hilbert v Parkinson splc
Fox v Sufield m f j
In re Morgan Ross v Morgan m f j
In re G Cooke Appleyard v Clarke m f j
In re Smith Sandford v Sandford s c

Morewood v Errington act & m f j
In re Smith Sandford v Sandford s c
& m f j
Spurrill v Spurrill m f j
Atkinson v Bury act
In re Bennett Bennett v Price s c
In re Richardson Williams v Gorvin
act & m f j
Price v Torrens act
Green v Clayton act
In re Beaumont Hansom v Beaumont
act
Hunter v Ramagate, &c, Trams Co act
Morris v Neil act
Rooper v Duke of Northumberland
m f j
Watts v Bennett act
Bradford v Young act
In re Rosier Jones v Bartholomew
m f j
In re Rother Rother v Rother s c
West v West m f j
Carlton v Murray act
In re Hookes Estate Hookes v Parry
act
Hyde v Hyde s c
Gow v Foster s c
In re Ormiston Aldam v Ford m f j
In re Calderhead m f j
Payton v Saunders act
In re Graver-Browne Hare v Hare s c
In re W Paddock Miller v Toll s c
In re Hodgkinson Thackray v Swin-
erton m f j
In re Powell Owen v Powell act
Cole v Crush act
In re Lawrence Lawrence v Lawrence
s c
McWilliam v Boome s c & m f j
Harrington v Heaven s c
In re Falk Falk v Falk act
Longden v Longden m f j
Jackson v Jackson Gregson v Jack-
son s c
In re Prior Prior v Prior act
In re Gover Gover v Woodroffe f c
In re Biggar Biggar v Biggar f c
Carter v Hook act
In re Huddwell Huddwell v Kettlewell
f c
In re Quick Allsen v Quick f c
In re Evans Phillips v Evans act
Cross v Collett s c & m f j
In re Cole Cowan v Cowan f c
Spencer v Princeps m f j
In re Fortnum In re Lovell Hunt
Fortnum act
In re Saore Mahoney v Saore act
In re F R and G Walne Walne v
Hill f c
In re A S Walne Walne v Hill f c &
summs
McEwan v Crombie Porter v Grant f c
Sadler v Bryan act
Holt v Holt f c

In re McLeod Harris v McLeod, m f j
Prioleau v Kaselack f c & summs
In re Hall Colley v Wilson f c
In re Watts Cornford v Elliott s c
Waddington v Waddington m f j
Mutual Life Assurance Society v Lang-
ley act & m f j
Hooking v Hooking f c
In re Noyes Arnold v Noyes f c &
summs
In re Ridings Ridings v Barnes act
Selby v Williams f c
In re Hill Hill v Hill f c
In re Calder Howson v Lampray f c
In re Hollis Hollis v Fletcher splc
& m f j
Gray v Webb f c
In re Wase Mason v Kyre f c
In re Shield Pethybridge v Burrow act
In re Goodson Ward v Read m f j
Davies v National Bank of Wales act
The Ecclesiastical Commission re for
England v Pearson act
Productive Investment, &c, Socy v
Lewis m f j
Hooper v Simmons Simmons v Hooper
act & c c
Higgins v Kirkpatrick act
In re Brooks Muggave v Brooks act
In re Birchall Wilson v Birchall f c
In re Jones Jones v Davies f c
In re Johnson Johnson v White f c
Bates v Bates splc
Turner v Cooper m f j
In re Woodall Woodall v Woodall f c
In re Viscount Exmouth Viscount Ex-
mouth v Fellow f c
In re Llewellyn Lane v Lane act
(short)
In re Atkins Mott v Allen f c (short)
Mendham v Thomas act
Perkins v Angel act
In re Adams Gray v Smith m f j
(short)
In re Smedley Smedley v Hunter 2nd
f c
Coleman v Llewellyn act
In re Baynton Baynton v Wharcat act
Hampton v Hampton f c
In re Denney Dixon v Denney f c
In re M A Quintermaine Howies v
Smith f c (short)
Selway v Hales Hales v Selway act
and counter claim

Adjourned Summonses.

Biggar v Biggar phd
Booth v Breeden
Marques of Salisbury v Hurter
In re Newington Hughes v Newington
In re Hearsey Hearsey v Peckett
Standing v Bowring
In re Slade Slade v Hulme
In re Ames Ames v Taylor
Cowan v Taylor

COMPANIES.

WINDING-UP NOTICES.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

FRIGG HYDRO-MOTOR COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented
Oct 25, directed to be heard before Bacon, V.C., on Nov 3. Peckham and Co,
Knight Rider st, Doctors' commons, solicitors for the petitioners
STANDARD INVESTMENT COMPANY, LIMITED.—By an order of Butt, J., dated Oct
10, it was ordered that the company be wound up. Heritage and Co, Clement's
lane, solicitors for the petitioner

YATE COLLIERIES AND LIME WORKS COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding
up, presented Oct 20, directed to be heard before Pearson, J., on Saturday,
Nov 3. Best and Co, Essex st, Strand, solicitors for the petitioner
(Gawth, Oct. 26.)

BATLEY MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented
Oct 26, directed to be heard before Pearson, J., on Nov 10. Ridale and Son,
Gray's inn sq, agents for Chadwick and Sons, Dewsbury, solicitors for the
petitioners

CARTA PARA GOLD MINING COMPANY, LIMITED.—The Vacation Judge, has, by an
order dated Oct 3, removed James Waddell and appointed Alfred Auprey
Broad, 35, Walbrook, to be official liquidator

EASTBOURN BREWERY COMPANY, LIMITED.—Chitty, J., has fixed Nov 6 at 11 as
his chambers for the appointment of an official liquidator

HANTS AND BERKS FARMERS' CO-OPERATIVE STEAM FLOUGHING AND CULTIVATING
COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented Oct 23, directed to be
heard before Chitty, J., on Nov 10. Flax and Leadbitter, Leadenhall st,
solicitors for the petitioner

JANUSKOFF ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for
winding up, presented Oct 23, directed to be heard before Pearson, J., on Nov
10. Whitehead, New sq, Lincoln's inn, solicitor for the petitioner
(Gawth, Oct. 26.)

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

BENEVOLENT FREE GIFT SOCIETY, White Horse Inn, New rd Side, Keighley,
York. Oct 23
KINGSHOLME FRIENDLY SOCIETY, White Hart Inn, Kingsholme, Gloucester.
Oct 22
LOYAL BULLHORN LODGE FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Black Bull Inn, Shalldburn, York.
Oct 22

POCKLINGTON FRIENDLY SOCIETY OF FEMALES, NEWARK, Saracen's Head Inn, Newark, Nottingham. Oct 24
 [Gazette, Oct. 26.]
LIVE AND LET LIVE LODGE OF OLD SISTERS, Turk's Head Inn, Lichfield, Stafford. Oct 26
 [Gazette, Oct. 26.]

LONDON GAZETTES.

Bankrupts.

FRIDAY, Oct. 26, 1883.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.
 Bragg, Robert, Arthur st, Old Kent road, Surveyor. Pet Oct 24. Murray. Nov 8 at 12

To Surrender in the Country.

Clarke, Samuel, Framden, Suffolk, Millwright. Pet Oct 20. Grimsey. Ipswich. Nov 6 at 11
 Cruden, Thomas Hutchinson, Aden terr, Newington green, of no occupation. Pet Oct 23. Pulley. Edmonton. Nov 13 at 11
 Morris, Arthur, Bath, Grocer. Pet Oct 22. Robertson. Bath. Nov 6 at 11
 Slight, William Charles, Skegness. Pet Oct 4. Stanland. Boston. Nov 6 at 12 30

TUESDAY, Oct. 30, 1883.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Aarons, Joseph, and Joel Phillips, Finsbury pavement, Merchants. Pet Oct 26. Brougham. Nov 15 at 11
 Lambert, William Anthony, Thornlaw rd, West Norwood, Builder. Pet Oct 27. Brougham. Nov 21 at 11
 Raphael, Philip, Balfour rd, Highbury New pk. Pet Oct 25. Brougham. Nov 14 at 11
 Skeinnetts, Henry, Worcester way, Charles st, Holloway, Piano-forte Manufacturer. Pet Oct 26. Brougham. Nov 14 at 12
 Waddell, James, Queen Victoria st, Public Accountant. Pet Oct 27. Brougham. Nov 14 at 12 30
 Waddell, William, St Mary Abbots terrace, Kensington, Public Accountant. Pet Oct 27. Brougham. Nov 14 at 1
 Whitaker, Edwin, Lynton rd, Bermondsey, Builder. Pet Oct 26. Brougham. Nov 14 at 11 30

To Surrender in the Country.

Aitken, George T., Choriton on Medlock, nr Manchester, Commission Agent. Pet Oct 26. Kay. Manchester. Nov 12 at 12 30
 Kean, Thomas, and William Kean, West Bromwich, Stafford, Timber Merchants. Pet Oct 26. Watson. Oldbury. Nov 12 at 12
 Pinlott, Samuel, Salford, Lancashire, Bookseller. Pet Oct 26. Hulton. Salford. Nov 14 at 11
 Winterson, Charles Self, Bristol, Brass Founder. Pet Oct 12. Harley. Bristol. Nov 12 at 1

Liquidations by Arrangement.

FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, Oct. 26, 1883.

Abbott, Thomas George, Harrogate, York, Hotel Keeper. Nov 6 at 3 at Commercial Hotel, Harrogate.
 Addins, Alfred Fawson, Buckingham Palace rd, Piccadilly, Draper. Nov 2 at 12 at office of Ody, Blackfriars rd
 Allen, James, Barking, Essex, Farmer. Nov 9 at 3 at Golden Lion Hotel, Romford, Atkinson, Stratford
 Arnold, John, Bury, Lancashire, Licensed Victualler. Nov 7 at 8 at office of Haslam, Market st, Bury
 Austin, John, Ore, Sussex, Dairyman. Nov 2 at 11 at office of Aitkens, Devonshire rd, Hastings
 Balmer, Edmund, Liverpool, Beerhouse Keeper. Nov 8 at 8 at office of Fretson, Dale st, Liverpool
 Barnett, Samuel, Tunstall, Stafford, Pawnbroker. Nov 12 at 1 at office of Tomkinson, St John's chbrs, Queen st, Burslem. Hulme, Worcester
 Bennett, Thomas Hamilton, Forest Hill, Kent, no occupation. Nov 9 at 3 at 55, Chancery lane. Robertson, South sq, Gray's inn
 Betham, Charles, juxta Birmingham, Warwick, Boot Maker. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Phillips, Old sq, Birmingham
 Bethell, Frederick William, Northampton, Jeweller. Nov 6 at 9 at office of Rice, Gold st, Northampton
 Billington, Thomas, Ashton under Lyne, Lancashire, Foreman Pattern Maker. Nov 6 at 2 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yd, Manchester. Jackson, Ashton under Lyne
 Bleakley, Alexander, and William Henry Bleakley, Birkenhead, Chester, Builders. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Francis, Hamilton sq, Birkenhead
 Braithwaite, Frank, Tobaccoist, Nottingham. Nov 6 at 3 at office of George Hotel, George st, Nottingham
 Brookbank, Edward, Thwaites, Kelghly, York, Grease Extractor. Nov 12 at 3 at 8, Devonshire st, Kelghly. Weatherhead and Burr, Kelghly
 Brown, Robert, Gt Totham, Essex, Farmer. Nov 8 at 11 at office of Crick and Freeman, Maldon
 Byham, Thomas Edward, Colchester, Bath Proprietor. Nov 12 at 3 at office of White, North hill, Colchester
 Challenger, William, Wakefield, York, Butcher. Nov 12 at 5 at offices of Hall, Barstow sq, Wakefield
 Chambers, William, Gt Yarmouth, Sailmaker. Nov 21 at 3 at office of Rayson, Regent st, Gt Yarmouth
 Cleworth, Alfred William, and Robert Lightfoot, Westhoughton, Ironfounders. Nov 9 at 2 30 at Public Sale Rooms, Bowker's row, Bolton. Whittingham, Bolton
 Cloake, Charles Rueben, Gt Portland st, Coal Merchant. Nov 10 at 3 at office of Biggin, Chancery lane
 Cooper, William, Blackburn, Fish Salesman. Nov 16 at 12 30 at Old Bull Hotel, Church st, Blackburn. Horner and Son, Manchester
 Crowther, Ann, Sam Stables, and Henry Stables, Calverley, York, Woollen Manufacturers. Nov 8 at 11 at Wharton's Hotel, Park lane, Leeds. Ingram and Huntres, Halifax
 Curtis, Henry, Wareham, Dorset, Hotel Keeper. Nov 8 at 12 at office of Bamford, North st, Wareham
 Dakin, George, L. ier-ster, Draper. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Hincks, Bowling Green st, Leicester
 Davies, John Lewis, Merthyr Tydfil, Grocer. Nov 8 at 12 at office of Vaughan, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 Feltham, Frederick, Gomshall, Surrey, Grocer. Nov 6 at 3 at office of Hart and Co, High st, Dorking
 Fry, Joseph, Wilton, Wills, Butcher. Nov 8 at 11 at office of Nodder and Gater, City chbrs, Salisbury
 Giddings, Charles Stephen, Titchfield, Hants, Farmer. Nov 9 at 2 at office of Comins and Burridge, St Thomas st, Portsmouth

Gill, David, Lancaster, Licensed Victualler. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Johnson and Tilly, Sun st, Lancaster
 Glover, James Pacey, Braunston, Rutland, Farmer. Nov 7 at 11 30 at the George Hotel, Oakham. Hough and Tuck, Oakham
 Green, Henry, Fenny Stratford, Bucks, Draper. Nov 9 at 1 at Park Hotel, Elstchley. Becke and Green, Northampton
 Green, James, Bath, Licensed Victualler. Nov 7 at 11 at office of Tisley, Grouse grove, Bath
 Hand, Edmund, Nottingham, Grocer. Nov 13 at 11 at office of Black, Low pavement, Nottingham
 Herrod, Thomas, Mansfield, Notts, Butcher. Nov 16 at 4 at office of Cockayne, Fletcher gate, Nottingham
 Humpage, Alfred, Birmingham, out of business. Nov 8 at 12 at Great Western Hotel, Monmouth st, Birmingham. Jelf and Latham, Birmingham
 Ives, Charles Frederick, Gt Yarmouth, Oilman. Nov 10 at 12 30 at office of Dowsett, Hall Plain chbrs, Gt Yarmouth
 Jackson, Thomas Scoresby, Walthamstow, M.D. Nov 15 at 3 at office of Kearsey and Co, Old Jewry
 Johnson, Charles, and Frederick Aubert, St John st, Clerkenwell, Publishers' Bookbinders. Nov 7 at 3 at office of Foster, Queen st, j
 Jones, William, Well st, South Hackney, Fruiterer. Nov 3 at 10 30 at office of Butcher and Co, Moorgate st. Hicks, Victoria park rd, South Hackney
 Leitchford, Frederick Alexander, Cornwall rd, Brixton, Cheesemonger. Nov 2 at 3 at office of Hope and Co, Stockwell Green
 Lock, William, Chilham, Kent, Grocer. Nov 12 at 2 at Fleur-de-Lis Hotel, Canterbury. Minter, Folkestone
 Lowe, George, Middlesbrough, Impkeeper. Nov 2 at 2 at office of Tonic, Albert rd, Middlesbrough
 Manning, Thomas David, Rye, Sussex, Coachmaker. Nov 2 at 11 at Cinque Ports Hotel, Rye. Davenport and Co, Hastings
 Mansell, George Horatio, Balsall Heath, Worcester, Grocer. Nov 8 at 3 at Great Western Hotel, Colmore row, Birmingham. Fitter, Birmingham
 Mason, Francis Augustus, and Thomas Mason, St Paul's rd, Limehouse, Drapers. Nov 8 at 2 at office of Clements and Co, Queen st, Cheapside. Haigh and Agar, Gresham st
 Meachin, James, Llanelly, Bootmaker. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Randall, Frederick Mitchell, William, John Mitchell, and Benjamin Mitchell, Featherstone, York. Brewers. Nov 8 at 2 at office of Marland and Davy, Albion st, Leeds
 Orme, John, West Bromwich, Stafford, Butcher. Nov 6 at 11 at office of Rankin, High st, West Bromwich
 Payne, Mark, Kettering, Northampton, Engineer. Nov 14 at 12 at office of Wilkinson, Atlas chbrs, Berridge st, Leicester. Geo, Leicester
 Phillips, Eleazer, Aberdare, Glamorgan, Farmer. Nov 1 at 12 at 7, Canon st, Aberdare. Thomas, Pontypridd
 Pilling, Richard, Monkfrystone, York, Farmer. Nov 12 at 3 at offices of Spink, Pontefract
 Pilling, William Henry, Liverpool, Coach and Car Proprietor. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Harris, Harrington st, Liverpool
 Rice, Obadiah Handford, Norwich, Builder. Nov 8 at 12 at office of Clabburn, London st, Norwich
 Shepherd, Sidney Hand, Devonshire st, Bishopsgate st, Without, Clerk. Nov 8 at 2 at 12, Bishopsgate st, Without. Sweetland, Union st, Old Broad st
 Smith, William Henry, Derby, out of business. Nov 12 at 11 at office of Heath, Amen alley, Derby
 Taylor, Thomas Matthew, Worcester, Metal Broker. Nov 7 at 11 at office of Williams, Worcester chbrs, Pierpoint st, Worcester
 Taylor, Thomas William, Nottingham, Painter. Nov 14 at 3 at office of Clayton, Middle pavement, Nottingham
 Thomas, William Atkins, Cleveland st, Oilman. Nov 6 at 3 at office of Cooper and Co, Lincoln's inn fields
 Utley, Joseph, Ecdesfield, nr Sheffield, Grocer. Nov 6 at 3 at office of Smith and Co, Meetinghouse lane, Sheffield
 Ward, Joseph, Morley, nr Leeds, Hairdresser. Nov 9 at 10 30 at Queen's Hotel, Queen st, Morley. Rhodes, Bradford
 Ward, William Henry, Birmingham, Architect. Nov 8 at 12 at office of Jelf and Latham, Waterloo st, Birmingham
 Warren, William, Gt Wakering, Essex, Butcher. Nov 8 at 2 at office of Ogan and Co, Chancery lane, London
 Weavers, William Richard, Grantham, out of business. Nov 3 at 1 at office of Peagam, London rd, Grantham
 Webb, William, and Alfred Talbot, Batley, York, Rag Merchants. Nov 6 at 3 at office of Ibberson, Westgate, Dewsbury
 Whitehouse, James, Bilsdon, Stafford, Carpenter. Nov 8 at 11 at office of Stratton, Queen st, Wolverhampton
 Wilsbar, George, Macclesfield, Silk Manufacturer. Nov 14 at 11 at office of Chubb, George green, Macclesfield
 Wiltshire, George, Wanborough Plain, Wilts, Licensed Victualler. Nov 5 at 11 at office of Boodle, Albion bldgs, New Swindon
 Woods, Walter, Worthing, Sussex, Grocer. Nov 9 at 3 at 53, Chapel rd, Worthing. Verrall, Worthing
 Woodward, John Thomas, Easingwold, York, Auctioneer. Nov 8 at 2 at Depot Hotel, Thirk Junction, nr Thirk. Cass, Thirk
 Wrate, John Joseph, Wimbledon, Provision Merchant. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Copp, Essex st, Strand

TUESDAY, Oct. 30, 1883.

Allen, William Miles, Down Hatherley, Gloucester, Horse Dealer. Nov 10 at 12 at office of Dighton, Newent
 Ashworth, John, Haughton nr Denton, Lancaster, Hat Maker. Nov 15 at 3 at office of Addleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester
 Astill, John, Leicester, Tailor. Nov 14 at 2 at office of Hincks, Bowling Green st, Leicester
 Ball, William, Old Brinsley, Nottingham, Licensed Victualler. Nov 14 at 11 at office of Stevenson, Eldon chbrs, Wheeler-gate, Nottingham
 Barnes, Joseph, Alford, Lincoln, out of business. Nov 9 at 3 at office of Walker and Co, Alford
 Beardall, William Cuxon, Nottingham, Grocer. Nov 19 at 2 at Assembly Rooms Low Pavement, Nottingham, Norman, Nottingham
 Benson, Charles, junr, Birmingham, Cook. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Foster, Bennett's hill, Birmingham
 Bonnor, Charles Mortimer, Croydon, Surrey, Bookseller. Nov 12 at 3 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Holmes, King st, Cheapside
 Braithwaite, Isabella, Whitehaven, Cumberland, Dressmaker. Nov 13 at 3 at office of Whitehaven, Lower st, Whitehaven
 Briscoe, William Blake, Camberwell New rd, Baker. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Lockyer, Gresham bldgs, Reading st
 Brown, Charles Langford, Old Broad st, Accountant. Nov 14 at 3 at St Michael's Hall, Room F, George yd, Lombard st. Merriman and Co, Austin Friars
 Bryant, Edward John Samuel, Shepperton rd, New North rd, Islington, Marble and Slate Mason. Nov 12 at 3 at office of Coxwell, Laurence Pountney lane
 Buckall, John, Liverpool, Glass and China Dealer. Nov 13 at 2 at office of Collins, Harrington st, Liverpool
 Butterworth, Benjamin, jun, Holmfirth, York, Chemist. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Sykes, Holmfirth
 Chapman, John, Easingwold, York, Impkeeper. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Crumlie, Stonegate, York
 Cleworth, Alfred William, Westhoughton, Lancaster, Ironfounder. Nov 9 at 3 30 at Public Sale Rooms, Bowker's row, Bolton. Whittingham and Whittingham, Bolton

SCH

A Guar with the The Pfectly of Rapper. High Being all palat the stre starch. A Made to a Broc Cooal cheapest richer ch In tin and Groc H. SOH

LAW L Ch admitted three L dress, L Cheap

Cooper, Isaac, Croydon, Surrey, Nurseryman. Nov 14 at 2 at office of Arnold Borough High st, Southwark.
 Davies, Nathaniel, Dowlais, nr Merthyr Tydfil, Glamorgan, Grocer. Nov 9 at 12 at office of Beddell, Merthyr Tydfil.
 Dickinson, Joseph, Tipton, Stafford, Beerhouse Keeper. Nov 15 at 11 at office of Whitehouse, Dudley rd, Tipton.
 Diehl, Theodor, Jewin crescent, Manufacturers' Agent, Nov 16 at 3 at office of Goldberg and Langdon, West st, Finsbury circus.
 Dobell, Robert, jun, Truro, Cornwall, Solicitor. Nov 10 at 11 at 3, New Bridge st, Truro.
 Edwards, Daniel, Farry Side, Carmarthen, Butcher. Nov 9 at 10.30 at office of White, King st, Carmarthen.
 Edridge, Richard, and Alfred George Gee, Fendall st, Bermondsey, Builders. Nov 30 at 2 at Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st, Raxworthy, Chesham.
 Enion, Joel Edward, and James Henry Enion, Manchester, Plumbers. Nov 7 at 3 at office of Simpson and Hookin, Mount st, Albert sq, Manchester.
 Evans, Emma, Llandudno, Carnarvon, Lodging house keeper. Nov 12 at 12 at office of Chamberlain, Mostyn st, Llandudno.
 Evans, Fanny Olive, Barmouth, Merioneth, Hotel Keeper. Nov 7 at Cors-y-gedol Hotel, Barmouth, in lieu of the place originally named.
 Greyst, William, Daley, Chester, out of business. Nov 13 at 3 at George Hotel, Wellington rd, Heaton Norris, Stockport. Leigh, Daley.
 Hammond, Robert, Sunderland, Furniture Broker. Nov 13 at 3 at office of Bell, Lambton st, Sunderland.
 Harrison, George, Huddersfield, Chemist. Nov 8 at 5 at office of Unwin, Queen st, Sheffield.
 Hawkins, Herbert, Croydon, Draper. Nov 21 at 11 at Greyhound Hotel, High st, Croydon. Parry, Croydon.
 Heaven, Alfred, Manchester, Embroiderer. Nov 12 at 3 at office of Hinde and Co, Mount st, Albert sq, Manchester.
 Heppell, Robert, Gateshead, Corn Merchant. Nov 9 at 12 at office of Hopper, Grainger st, Newcastle upon Tyne.
 Hesseberg, Simon, Liverpool, Furniture Dealer. Nov 12 at 11 at office of Levy, North John st, Liverpool.
 Hitchman, William Pitt, Lamb's Conduit st, Holborn, Cheesemonger. Nov 19 at 3 at office of Fitch, Bedford row.
 Hyde, Thomas, Croydon, Builder. Nov 9 at 3 at Green Dragon Hotel, High st, Croydon. Dennis, Croydon.
 Jackson, William Reynolds, Sun st, Finsbury sq, Metal Merchant. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Laurie and Co, Gresham bldgs, Basinghall st. Munk and Co, Queen Victoria st.
 Jaffe, Jane Elizabeth, Mold, Flint, Licensed Victualler. Nov 12 at 12 at Queen Hotel, Chester. Roberts, Mold.
 Jeremy, William, Llandudno, Grocer. Nov 8 at 11 at office of Walters, St Mary st, Carmarthen.
 Johnson, Edward Henry, Wilmington sq, Clerkenwell, Watch Manufacturer. Nov 10 at 3 at office of Smith and Co, Bedford row.
 Kent, John, Railway approach, London bridge, Auctioneer. Nov 10 at 1 at office of Kibbey, Chesham.
 Kirby, John William, Beverley, York, Butcher. Nov 14 at 3 at Holderness Inn, Beverley. Summers, Hull.
 Lashmore, John, Bournemouth, Watchmaker. Nov 13 at 11 at Acorn Hotel, Temple st, Birmingham. Preston.
 Lee, James, Bagshot, Surrey, Builder. Nov 15 at 12 at office of Miller and Co, Selter's Hall st, Cannon st.
 Lemaitre, Jean Baptiste, City rd, of no occupation. Nov 15 at 2 at office of Kibbey, College hill, Cannon st.
 Mallinson, Matthew, Stalybridge, Chester, Tailor. Nov 12 at 3 at office of Garforth and Cooper, Bank st, Manchester.
 McKnight, William Alphonsus, Liverpool, Provision Dealer. Nov 13 at 3 at office of Pemberton and Co, Harrington st, Liverpool.
 Markham, Charles, Shotley, Suffolk, Farmer. Nov 20 at 12 at office of Pollard, St Lawrence st, Ipswich.
 Mathers, Paul, Monkwell st, Commission Agent. Nov 16 at 2 at office of Cannon and Terry, Wool Exchange, Coleman st.
 Morris, Edward, New Broad st, Merchant. Nov 12 at 12 at office of Fletcher, Old Jewry. Spyer and Son, Old Broad st.
 Morris, Ralph, and William Haderock, Blackburn, Cotton Manufacturers. Nov 9 at 3 at office of Addleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester.
 Mosedale, James, Kingsland, Veterinary Surgeon. Nov 12 at 3 at office of Biggin, Chancery lane.
 Naudal, William Norman, Waltham Green, Licensed Victualler. Nov 5 at 3 at 214, Fulham rd. Indwick, Bedford row.
 Northmore, Walter, Milcom, Cumberland, Miner. Nov 16 at 12 at office of Dickinson, Newton st, Milcom.
 Ough, Edwin Gowman, and Morgan Charles Jones, Wakefield, York, Matting Manufacturers. Nov 13 at 11 at office of Stewart and Sons, Bank bldgs, Westgate, Wakefield.
 Parnell, William Henry, Wrockwardine Wood, Salop, Beerseller. Nov 13 at 12 at office of Knowles, Wellington.

Poach, Robert Henry, Heckington, Lincoln, Innkeeper. Nov 14 at 12 at office of Rodgers and Jessop, Sleaford.
 Phillips, Howell Benson, Bankton rd, Brixton, Commission Agent. Nov 8 at 3 at office of Fowler and Co, Borough High st, Southwark.
 Pound, William, Cooper's row, Crutched Friars, Wine Merchant. Nov 15 at 2 at office of Hilbery, Blitter st.
 Purdy, George, Morpeth, Farmer. Nov 12 at 2 at office of Sewell, Grey st, Newcastle on Tyne.
 Pykett, Richard, Nottingham, Builder. Nov 12 at 3 at office of Bright, Pepper st, Nottingham.
 Reynolds, Thomas, Ramcorn, Chester, no occupation. Nov 9 at 1 at Bank chbrs, Ramcorn. Linaker.
 Richards, John, Birmingham, Jeweller. Nov 13 at 3 at office of Jaques, Temple row, Birmingham.
 Robinson, Edmund Tucker, Cheshunt, Hereford, Coachbuilder. Nov 12 at 3 at Auction Mart, Tokenhouse yd. Duffield and Bruty, Tokenhouse yd.
 Rogerson, James, St Helen's, Lancaster, Draper. Nov 15 at 3 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yd, Victoria st, Manchester. Thomas, St Helen's.
 Russell, Alfred, Brixton, New Kent rd, Baker. Nov 14 at 2 at office of Slacopole, Pinner's Hall, Old Broad st.
 Senber, Edgar, Worthing, Sussex, Builder. Nov 13 at 3 at office of Champion, North Gate House, Brighton.
 Shillabeer, Samuel, Albert rd, New Southgate, Builder. Nov 14 at 2 at 270, High Holborn. Ponstone, Raymond bldgs, Gray's inn.
 Slater, William, jun, Gloucester, Wine and Spirit Merchant. Nov 13 at 3 at King's Head Hotel, Cirencester. Sewell and Sons, Cirencester.
 Smith, John, William Smith, Sawley, Derby, Coal Merchants. Nov 16 at 3 at office of Flint, Full st, Derby.
 Straker, Henry Martin, St John of Beverley, York, Licensed Victualler. Nov 12 at 11 at office of Shepherd and Co, Laigate, Beverley.
 Syrett, Charles Edward, Deptford, Kent, Shirt Manufacturer. Nov 19 at 2 at offices of Dubois, Old Serjeant's-inn, Chancery-lane. Moss, Great Tower st.
 Thornton, Joseph, Emswale (Thornton), and Valentine Thornton, Accrington, Lancashire, Power Loom Cloth Manufacturers. Nov 13 at 3 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral Gates, Manchester. Radcliffe, Blackburn.
 Tilton, Thomas, Sunderland, Durham, Clerk in Holy Orders. Nov 14 at 1 at offices of Fairclough, Foyle st, Sunderland.
 Tittle, Walter William, Goldenhill, Stafford, Draper. Nov 8 at 3 at offices of Sherratt, Market st, Kidsgrove.
 Toms, John Bristow, Davies mews, Berkeley sq, Upholsterer. Nov 15 at 3 at office of Foreman and Co, Gresham st. Goren, South Molton st, Oxford.
 Tuteur, Max, Italian garden, Jeweller. Nov 16 at 2 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Sydney, Finsbury circus.
 Waldron, James, Oldham, Lancaster, Shoemaker. Nov 9 at 3 at Grosvenor Hotel, Deansgate, Manchester. Watson, Oldham.
 Wallace, Charles Edgar, Liverpool, Wine Merchant. Nov 13 at 2.30 at office of Radcliffe and Co, Hackin's Hay, Liverpool.
 Ward, John, Birmingham, Auctioneer. Nov 9 at 12 at office of Jelf and Latham, Waterloo st, Birmingham.
 Watson, William, Bradford, York, Contractor. Nov 10 at 11 at office of Wilkinson, Kirkgate, Bradford.
 Westbury, Albert, Birmingham, Saddler. Nov 9 at 11 at office of Burton, Union passage, Birmingham.
 Wild, Richard, Sedgley, Stafford, Licensed Victualler. Nov 13 at 11 at office of Jackson and Sharp, High st, Westbromwich.
 Wright, Charles, Laton, Bedford, Grocer. Nov 8 at 3.30 at office of Wetherfield, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st. New, Luton.
 Wright, James, Wigan, Lancaster, Draper. Nov 10 at 11 at office of Scott and Hills, Arcade buildings, King st, Wigan.

CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS	1 SOCIETIES	7
THE REMUNERATION ORDER	2 LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL	7
THE NEW BANKRUPTCY AUTHORITY	3 LEGAL APPOINTMENTS	8
RECENT DECISIONS	5 COUNTY COURTS	8
THE NEW PRACTICE	5 COURT PAPERS	8
REVIEWS	6 COMPANIES	13
DIVISIONAL COURTS	7 LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.	13

The Subscription to the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL is—Town, 26s.; Country, 28s.; with the WEEKLY REPORTER, 52s. Payment in advance includes Double Numbers and Postage. Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.

SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA.

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.
 Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.
 The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.
 Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoa increased yet weakened with starch, &c., and is REALITY CHEAPER than such Mixtures.
 Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA LA VANILLA is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.
 In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 2s., 3s., 6d., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors, H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

LAW.—WANTED, in the City Office of a Firm of Solicitors, a Gentleman capable of conducting Contentious and General Business under supervision.—Apply, stating age, experience, and salary required, to D., care of Messrs. Walker & Co., 37, Throgmorton-street, London, E.C.

LAW.—WANTED, Conveyancing and a Chauncery or General Managing CLERKSHIP; admitted May, 1882, age 25; four years' country, three London experience; moderate salary.—Address, LEX, care of P. M. James, 40, King-street, Chesham, E.C.

ESTABLISHED 1835.

HEWETSON, THEXTON, & PEART,

MANUFACTURERS AND HOUSE FURNISHERS,
 200, 202, and 204, TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD, W.
 Estimates and Designs submitted free for entirely Furnishing Residences, Chambers, Offices, &c.
 —PAINTING, DECORATING, & HOUSE REPAIRS.—

Carved Oak Furniture, Reproductions from Ancient Designs, &c. Bedroom Furniture, including Bedstead and Bedding, from 47 10s. per set.
 THIRTY LARGE SHOW ROOMS.

HEWETSON, THEXTON, & PEART,
 200, 202, and 204, Tottenham Court-road, London, W.
 N.B.—Household Furniture Warehouse or Removed on reasonable terms

MODELS for Illustrating Litigated Cases of light and air or other easements made to instructions.—F. H. McLAUGHLIN, Architectural and Engineering Modeller, 19, Dalrymple-road, Stockwell, S.W.

CHURCH PREFERENCE WANTED.—Private patrons, interested in the legitimate sale, by private treaty, of advowsons, presentations, &c., in favour of well-recommended clergymen, should refer to the PRIVATE PATRONS' GAZETTE, edited by Mr. W. EMERY STARK, Associate Institute of Actuaries, F.R.G.S., &c. Post-free for six stamps.—Address, Messrs. W. EMERY STARK & CO., 25, Bedford-street, Strand.

EDE AND SON,

BOBE MAKERS

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,
 To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.
SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.
 BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSELL'S DITTO.
 CORPORATION ROSES, UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS.
 ESTABLISHED 1860.

64, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON

FURNISH your HOUSES or APARTMENTS THROUGHOUT on MOEDER'S HIRE SYSTEM.
 The original, best, and most liberal.

No extra charge for time given.
 Illustrated priced Catalogues, with full particulars of terms, post-free.
 F. MOEDER, 243, 249, and 250, Tottenham-court-road, and 19, 20, and 21, Morwell-street, W. Established 1892

PENS.—MACNIVEN AND CAMERON'S
 PENS are the best invented. 1,745 newspapers recommend them. Sold by all stationers. Sample box, with all kinds, by post, 1s. 1d. "They are a treasure."—Standard. Patentees, Macniven and Cameron, No. 23, Blair-street, Edinburgh. Established 1770. Penmakers to Her Majesty's Government Offices.

Demy 8vo, cloth, 16s.

ROMAN CIVIL LAW.

An Aid to the Study of Scientific and Comparative Jurisprudence.

By PROFESSOR SHELDON AMOS,
Author of "The Science of Politics," &c.

London: KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, & CO.

**WATERLOW BROS. & LAYTON,
PUBLISHERS AND PRINTERS.**

Price 15s., net.

The New Practice of the Supreme Court of Judicature, under the Acts 1873 to 1883, and the Rules of Court of 1883, with Annotations on the Acts and Rules; also, Forms of all Proceedings, and an "Epitome of the Practice in an Action." By ARCHIBALD BROWN, Esq., M.A., of the Middle Temple.

Royal 8vo, price 4s. 6d., net.

The Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, with a full and Copious Index. By MICHAEL G. QUIRY, LL.B., of the Middle Temple. In a handy size for use in Offices and Courts.

Price 3s. 6d., net.

Bankruptcy Act, 1883, Official Copy, with Introduction and Copious Index. By H. WYATT HART, of the Inner Temple.
"A very good Index"—Law Times.

Price 3s. 6d., 5s., 6s., and 7s. 6d., according to Diary space.

Legal Diary and Almanac, containing list of Stamp Duties; an Index to the Public General Statutes; a Digest of Acts of last Session; lists of Barristers, and of London and Country Solicitors, &c.

Fourth Edition, price 5s., net.

The Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 & 1882, with Rules of Courts, Forms, Precedents, and Cases, and an Epitome of the Law relating to Interpleader. By HERBERT REED, of the Inner Temple.

Fifth Edition, price 7s. 6d.

Practical Guide to Legacy, Succession, Probate, and Administration Duties, with Decisions, Directions for Filling up Forms, Instructions for Increase or Return of Duties, &c. By A. T. LAYTON.

Sixth Edition, price 2s., net.

New Probate Duties; containing Notes and Alteration in Practice effected by the Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1881. By A. T. LAYTON.

Price 3s. 6d., net.

A Concise and Practical Manual to the Companies Acts, 1863 to 1883. Containing Instructions for the Formation and Working of Joint Stock Undertakings, with Suggestions for Preparation of Memoranda and Articles, and a copious Index. By H. J. WATERLOW.**WATERLOW BROS. & LAYTON,**

24 and 25, BIRCHIN LANE, E.C.

Now ready, Second Edition, thick post 8vo, price 7s. 6d.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE ACTS, 1873; with Rules of Court and Forms issued in July, 1883, annotated so as to form a Manual of Practice. By R. W. ANDREWS, Esq., and ARBUTHNOT B. STONEY, LL.D., of the Middle Temple, Barristers-at-Law.

REEVES & TURNER, 100, Chancery-lane.

Just published, post 8vo, 7s. 6d., post-free 8s. 6d.

THE CORRUPT PRACTICES PREVENTION ACTS, 1883; with Notes and Appendix of Statutes and Rules affecting the same, and general Index. By SIR WILLIAM WHEELHOUSE, Q.C.

REEVES & TURNER, 100, Chancery-lane.

Price Sixpence, post-free.

THE CORRUPT AND ILLEGAL PRACTICES PREVENTION ACT, 1883.—An Act passed (25th August, 1883) for the better Prevention of Corrupt and Illegal Practices at Parliamentary Elections.

Also, price Sixpence, the New Bankruptcy Act, entitled

AN ACT TO AMEND AND CONSOLIDATE the LAW OF BANKRUPTCY. ALEXANDER & SHEPHERD, 21, Castle-street, Holborn, and 27, Chancery-lane.**HODGKINSON & CO.'S Hand-made Brief, Foolscap, and other Papers, the most suitable for Solicitors. Can be obtained through all Stationers.**

WILL SHORTLY BE OPENED.

THE

**FIRST
AVENUE
HOTEL,
HOLBORN, LONDON.**

THE FIRST AVENUE HOTEL, ONE OF THE LARGEST AND MOST IMPORTANT HOTELS IN LONDON, OCCUPIES A CENTRAL POSITION, NEAR THE NEW COURTS OF JUSTICE, THE CITY, AND THE WEST END, ON THE DIRECT ROUTE THROUGH THE METROPOLIS.

The whole Building, including Sleeping Apartments, LIGHTED BY THE ELECTRIC LIGHT.

For Tariff, address the Manager,

**THE FIRST AVENUE HOTEL,
HOLBORN, LONDON.**

CITY OF LONDON.

MESSRS. FULLER & FULLER will SELL, by AUCTION, at the MART, Tokenhouse-yard, London, E.C., on TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1883, at TWO o'clock precisely, the first-class long LEASEHOLD INVESTMENT, secured upon the substantially and newly-built premises, Nos. 97 and 98, Bishopsgate-street Within, London, E.C., which comprise the fully-licensed wine and spirit establishment known as the Duke of Marlborough Head, together with the admirable suites of offices over same, the whole being of the annual rental value of £1,315 per annum.

Particulars and conditions of sale may be had of W. W. Gabriel, Esq., Solicitor, 43, Lincoln's-inn-fields, W.C.; or of the Auctioneers, 70, Queen-street, Cheap-side, London, E.C.

The valuable Life Interest of a Gentleman, aged 42 years, to the income derived from funds invested in the following high-class securities—viz., £11,500 Consols; £4,800 Scinde, Punjab, and Delhi Railway; £1,002 Great Western Railway Stock; £4,000 ditto Debenture Stock; £3,977 Midland Railway Stock; and £1,730 London and North-Western Railway Debenture Stock; also Life Policies, amounting to £11,000, effected on the same life in the Rock, Atlas, Guardian, and the London and Provincial Law Life Assurance offices.

CHINNOCK, GALSORTHY, & CHINNOCK will SELL by AUCTION, at the MART, Tokenhouse-yard, E.C., on TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1883, at ONE for TWO o'clock, in Two Lots, the above valuable LIFE INTERESTS and POLICIES.

Particulars and conditions of sale may be obtained of Messrs. Francis Baker & Watts, Solicitors, Newton Abbott, Devon; Messrs. Tozer & Whidborne, Solicitors, Teignmouth and Dawlish, Devon; Messrs. Yarde & Loader, Solicitors, 1, Raymond-buildings, Gray's-inn, W.C.; at the Mart; and of Messrs. Chinnock & Co., Land Agents and Surveyors, 11, Waterloo-place, Pall-mall, S.W.

Remaining Sales for the Year 1883.

MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, FARMER, & BRIDGEWATER beg to announce that their SALES of LANDED ESTATES, Investments, Town, Suburban, and Country Houses, Business Premises, Building Land, Ground-rents, Advertisements, Reversions, Stocks, Shares, and other Properties, will be held at the Auction Mart, Tokenhouse-yard, near the Bank of England, in the City of London, as follows—
Tuesday, Nov. 6 | Tuesday, Nov. 20 | Tuesday, Dec. 11
Auctions can also be held on other days besides those above specified. Due notice in any case should be given, in order to insure proper publicity; the period between such notice and the auction must, of course, considerably depend upon the nature of the property intended to be sold.—90, Chesapeake, London.**MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, FARMER, & BRIDGEWATER'S LIST of ESTATES and HOUSES to be SOLD or LET, including Landed Estates, Town and Country Residences, Hunting and Shooting Quarters, Farms, Ground Rents, Rent Charges, House Property and Investments generally, is published on the first day of each month, and may be obtained, free of charge, at their offices, 90, Chesapeake, E.C., or will be sent by post in return for two stamps.—Particulars for insertion should be received not later than four days previous to the end of the preceding month.**

Sales for the Year 1883.

MESSRS. FAREBROTHER, ELLIS, CLARK, & CO. beg to announce that the following DAYS have been fixed for their SALES during the year 1883, to be held at the MART, Tokenhouse-yard, E.C.:—
Thursday, Nov. 22, and Tuesday, Dec. 11.
Other appointments for Special Sales will be arranged.
—Nos. 5 and 6, Lancaster-place, Strand, W.C., and 19, Old Broad-street, E.C.**MESSRS. JOSHUA BAKER & WILKINSON** (late Baker & Sons, of Kilburn) beg to announce that their AUCTIONS of FREEHOLD, Leasehold, and Copyhold PROPERTIES, Reversions, Life Interests, and other Investments, will take place at the MART, Tokenhouse-yard, Bank, on the following days:—
Wednesday Nov. 7 | Wednesday Nov. 21 | Wednesday Dec. 12
Wednesday Dec. 5 | Wednesday Dec. 19

Auctions can, if necessary, be held on other days.—St. Stephen's-chambers, Telegraph-street, Moorgate-street, Bank, E.C., and Kilburn, N.W.

Solicitors, Trustees, Liquidators, and Others are requested to notice that SALES by AUCTION will be held at the AUCTION MART, London, E.C., by

MESSRS. STANLEY, ROBINSON, & CO. on the following DATES, at ONE for TWO o'clock precisely—November 23, and December 17—of Reversions, Life Policies, Annuities, Shares, Stocks, and Debentures, free of any charge, if not sold; if sold, 2½ per cent. on the amount realized, but no commission to be less than 2s. 6d. Also, Freehold and Leasehold Estates, &c., upon liberal terms, which may be known on application at the offices of the Auctioneers, Foultry-chambers, 11, Foultry, and 24, Queen Victoria-street, London E.C.**HAMPTON & SONS** make NO CHARGE for inserting particulars in their FREE MONTHLY REGISTER of ESTATES, TOWN and COUNTRY HOUSES, Furnished or Unfurnished, or for Sale, to be had GRATIS at their Offices, or post-free for two stamps. Published on the 1st of the month, and particulars for insertion should be sent not later than five days previous to end of preceding month.
Valuations for Probate and Transfer. Surveys.
Estate and Auction Offices, 8, Pall Mall East, S.W.

NOTICE OF PARTNERSHIP.

MESSRS. WARD & CLARKE beg to announce that they have Resigned the responsible positions they have held for many years in the office of Messrs. DRIVER & CO., and entered into PARTNERSHIP, and are now carrying on business as Surveyors, Land Agents, and Auctioneers, at 2, Lancaster-place, Strand.**CHAMBERS.**—To be Let, at No. 7, New-square, Lincoln's-inn, the Set of Chambers on Ground Floor formerly occupied by the late Mr. Joshua Williams; also, Set in Basement communicating therewith, but having separate entrance to New-square, and comprising a Strong Room. Rent moderate, and no premium. Suitable for Barristers or Solicitors. The vacancy of these chambers presents a favourable opportunity for the owners of property in New-square to secure a Passage-way into Carey-street opposite the New Law Courts.—Apply to RICHARD SMITH, 20, Lincoln's-inn-fields.**LARGE ROOMS** for Arbitration, Creditors' Classes, or Societies' Meetings by the hour, day or session.—MANAGER, 55, Chancery-lane, W.C.**CAVENDISH COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.**

This College has been founded under the presidency of His Grace the Duke of Devonshire, K.G., Chancellor of the University, to enable Junior Students, especially those intended for the Legal, Medical, and Teaching Professions, for Engineering, and for Business, to obtain a University Education economically and under special supervision.

The usual age of entry being between 16 and 17, a Degree may be taken at 19.

The College Charges for Lodging, Board (with an extra Term in the Long Vacation), Tuition, and University Fees are 244 per annum.—For further information apply to the WARDEN, Cavendish College, Cambridge.

LONDON GAZETTE (published by authority) and **LONDON and COUNTRY ADVERTISEMENT OFFICE.**—No. 117, CHANCERY LANE, FLEET STREET.**HENRY GREEN**, Advertisement Agent, begs to direct the attention of the Legal Profession to the advantages of his long experience of upwards of thirty years, in the special insertion of all pro forma notices, &c., and hereby solicits their continued support.—N.B. One copy of advertisement only required, and the strictest care and promptitude assured. Official stamped forms for advertisement and file of "London Gazette" kept. By appointment.**WANTED, TO PURCHASE** for Re-manufacturing, OLD PARCHMENT AND WASTE PAPER OF ANY KIND; in large or small quantities; often cleared; highest price given weighed and paid for on your own premises.—CHA DIBNEY & Co., Waste Paper Contractors, 10, W mington-square, W.C.